

**Judicial Proceedings Panel Public Meeting  
March 10, 2017**

*Table of Contents*

**Tab 1** Meeting Agenda

Materials for Panel Deliberations on Victims' Appellate Rights Report

**Tab 2** Victims' Appellate Rights Timeline of Events

- *Document prepared by JPP staff highlighting key events on victims' appellate rights.*

**Tab 3** Section 547 of the Senate Version of the FY 2017 NDAA (S.2943) to Amend Article 6b of the UCMJ

- *Proposed legislation in the Senate version of the FY 2017 National Defense Authorization Act (S.2943) that would amend Article 6b to provide victims with notice of appellate matters and the right to file appellate pleadings as a real party in interest.*

**Tab 4** Article 6b, UCMJ with Proposed Language from Section 547 of the Senate Version of the FY 2017 NDAA (S.2943)

- *Current military victims' rights statute (Article 6b, UCMJ) with redline of the proposed language from Section 547 of the Senate version of the FY 2017 National Defense Authorization Act (S.2943).*

**Tab 5** Victims' Appellate Rights: Questions for JPP Deliberations

- *List of issues and considerations regarding victims' appellate rights prepared by the staff. A previous version of this document was used by the JPP during deliberations on November 18, 2016.*

**Tab 6** JPP Staff Document Combining (1) the Department of Defense Joint Service Committee on Military Justice's (JSC) Proposed Amendments to Rule for Courts-Martial (R.C.M.) 1103A, (2) the Current Version of R.C.M. 1103A, and (3) the Service Courts of Criminal Appeals Rules Governing Access to Sealed Materials

- *Document highlighting the JSC's proposed changes to R.C.M. 1103A, which would prevent appellate counsel from reviewing sealed materials not disclosed to counsel at trial unless a "reviewing or appellate authority," as defined by the rule, permits examination by appellate counsel for good cause.*

**Tab 7** Public Comments Received by the JPP Regarding Joint Service Committee on Military Justice's Proposed Amendments to R.C.M. 1103A

- *Comments received by victims' counsel, appellate defense counsel, and members of the public expressing objection to or reservations about the JSC's proposed amendment to R.C.M. 1103A.*

**Tab 8** Service Responses to JPP Request for Information Question 163, Regarding the Air Force Procedures for Appellate Counsel Review of Sealed Materials

- *At the Panel's November 18, 2016 public meeting deliberations, the JPP identified the Air Force procedure for granting appellate counsel access to sealed materials as a possible best practice. At the JPP's request, the Services provided feedback on the Air Force procedures.*

**Tab 9** Draft Judicial Proceedings Panel Report on Victims' Appellate Rights

- *Draft report and recommendations of the JPP on the topic of victims' appellate rights, based on testimony received and JPP deliberations at public meetings held on September 23, 2016, October 14, 2016, November 18, 2016, and February 24, 2017.*

**Tab 10** Military Rule of Evidence 1101 and Drafter's Analysis

- *Military Rule of Evidence stating that "[t]he rules of privilege apply at all stages of a case or proceeding."*

**Tab 11** Dissenting Views of JPP Panel Member Victor D. Stone, Esq., Supporting Limited Appellate Participation for Crime Victims When Their Rights Are Already At Issue

- *Dissent by Mr. Stone regarding the JPP's proposed recommendation on victim appellate standing.*

**Tab 12** Dissenting Views of JPP Panel Member Victor D. Stone, Esq., Concluding that M.R.E. 513 and 1101(b) Prohibit Distribution of Privileged Materials

- *Dissent by Mr. Stone regarding the JPP's proposed recommendation on R.C.M. 1103A.*

Materials for Subcommittee Presentation on Site Visit Observations Regarding the DoD Withholding Policy, M.R.E. 412 and 513, and Attorney Training

**Tab 13** JPP Subcommittee Papers on DoD Initial Disposition Withholding Policy, Military Rules of Evidence 412 and 513, and Training and Experience of Trial Counsel and Special Victims' Counsel / Victims' Legal Counsel

- *Papers summarizing information obtained by the JPP Subcommittee during site visits on the topics of the DoD Withholding Policy, M.R.E. 412 and 513, and attorney training.*

## Materials for Panel Deliberations on Military Rules of Evidence 412 and 513

### **Tab 14** Military Rule of Evidence 412

- *Military Rule of Evidence entitled “Sex offense cases: The victim’s sexual behavior or predisposition.”*

### **Tab 15** Military Rule of Evidence 513

- *Military Rule of Evidence on the psychotherapist-patient privilege.*

### **Tab 16** Timelines Highlighting JPP Tasks and Key Events Affecting Military Rules of Evidence 412 and 513

- *Timeline on Military Rule of Evidence 412 at Article 32 Preliminary Hearings*
- *Timeline on Military Rule of Evidence 412 at Courts-Martial*
- *Timeline on Military Rule of Evidence 513 at Article 32 Preliminary Hearings and Courts-Martial*

### **Tab 17** Deliberations Chart on Military Rules of Evidence 412 and 513

- *Chart identifying issues presented, evidence pertaining to the issues, and possible options for JPP action.*

### **Tab 18** Case Law Applicable to Military Rule of Evidence 513

- *H.V. v. Kitchen - The Coast Guard Court of Criminal Appeals held that the scope of the psychotherapist-patient privilege under M.R.E. 513 extends to a therapist's mental health diagnoses and resulting treatment.*
- *Randolph v. H.V. and the United States - The accused in H.V. v. Kitchen appealed the Coast Guard decision to the Court of Appeals of the Armed Forces (CAAF) seeking reinstatement of the trial judge’s ruling ordering disclosure of the victim’s mental health diagnosis and treatment. CAAF declined to hear this issue due to lack of jurisdiction.*