## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

+ + + + +

## JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS PANEL

+ + + + +

## SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY,
DECEMBER 2, 2015

+ + + + +

The Subcommittee met via teleconference, at 10:35 a.m., Hon. Barbara Jones, Chair, presiding.
PRESENT

Hon. Barbara Jones, Chair Hon. Elizabeth Holtzman Laurie Rose Kepros Dean Lisa Schenck, COL(R) Prof. Lee Schinasi, COL(R) BG(R) James Schwenk Jill Wine-Banks

MG(R) Margaret Woodward

## STAFF:

Colonel Kyle W. Green, U.S. Air
Force - Staff Director
Lieutenant Colonel Glen Hines, U.S. Marine
Corps - JPP Subcommittee Staff
Attorney

Lieutenant Colonel Kelly L. McGovern, U.S.

Army - Deputy Staff Director

Maria Fried - Designated Federal Official

Kirtland Marsh - Staff Attorney

William Sprance - Designated Federal

Official

Sharon H. Zahn - Senior Paralegal

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	10:35 a.m.
3	MS. FRIED: Okay. Judge Jones, whenever
4	you're ready, you can proceed.
5	CHAIR JONES: Great, thank you. And we
6	can start.
7	We still obviously have at least two
8	issues. One is based on Professor Schulhofer's
9	email that relates to our definition of cognitive
10	ability. And the other is indecent act. But for
11	the sanity of Glen and the Staff, why don't we go
12	through the report itself. And as we reach the
13	issues we can stop and discuss them at that
14	point, unless somebody disagrees. Maybe we can go
15	ahead that way? Okay.
16	I see that on page 1, Ms. Kepros has
17	added some language, which looks fine to me. Does
18	everybody have their reports and know what I'm
19	talking about?
20	MS. WINE-BANKS: Yes.
21	CHAIR JONES: Okay. Any problems with
22	that language? I think it does help. Okay, no,

1	I don't have any, so
2	HON. HOLTZMAN: Well, the only
3	suggestion I would make is after in the second
4	line is after the word "amendments" should say
5	"to Article 120." I don't know if it's
6	necessary, but
7	CHAIR JONES: I think that's not a bad
8	idea, "would form such amendments to Article
9	120."
10	LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am.
11	CHAIR JONES: Any other issues? And
12	it's going to be prepared by the why is
13	Members is the proposal to say various
14	proposals prepared by the Subcommittee Members,
15	or just Subcommittee?
16	LTCOL HINES: Judge, I think the reason
17	Members is highlighted is, I just I had to
18	attach the bubble comment to it somewhere and I
19	think it just attached it to that last word, so
20	you could do it either way. You could say
21	prepared by the Subcommittee
22	CHAIR JONES: Oh, I see. Okay. There's

no significance.

LTCOL HINES: Right.

CHAIR JONES: I thought maybe it was something you wanted us to discuss. Alright, that's fine. And as is, that's approved.

There are -- on page 2 there is --

MS. WINE-BANKS: Barbara?

CHAIR JONES: Yes?

MS. WINE-BANKS: It's Jill. And I don't

-- it's a minor issue but the first sentence of

the next paragraph or the last paragraph on that

page still seems to me internally inconsistent,

and could use perhaps an extra sentence. It says

that, "Overall, it's reasonably effective but

often confusing."

CHAIR JONES: Right.

MS. WINE-BANKS: And we decided that we were -- we didn't need to make changes to 9. And I just felt like if we explained that, we felt that although it was confusing, it was reasonably effective, and the confusion could be cured by amending the proposals that we're preparing to

amend, and that the rest were fine. It's a slight difference. I mean, I could write it out. I'm sorry, go ahead.

HON. HOLTZMAN: I don't know where you are.

MS. WINE-BANKS: Right after the -CHAIR JONES: After paragraph --

MS. WINE-BANKS: -- we just added, it says, "Overall, the Subcommittee determined that Article" --

HON. HOLTZMAN: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay, got it.

CHAIR JONES: Okay, sorry.

MS. WINE-BANKS: I mean, we're saying it's effective but confusing, and then we go on to say we don't need to make changes to 9. Some definitions are sufficiently confusing. I just thought a rephrasing of it would make it clear that we felt that overall it works, that with the changes that we're proposing the confusion could be eliminated, so that we're not just ignoring the confusion, we're fixing the confusion.

1 CHAIR JONES: Alright. 2 MG WOODWARD: I agree. This is Maggie. It's interesting that I reacted to that one, but 3 4 I didn't write anything down. But, yes, I think 5 the inconsistency deserves to be addressed. CHAIR JONES: I actually reacted to it, 6 too, and then left all of my notes at home, but I 7 recall that I didn't like "often confusing." I 8 9 think I wanted to mellow it out to "sometimes 10 confusing." 11 MS. WINE-BANKS: Right. Do you want me 12 to -- I can type up some language and send it to 13 Glen while we're on the phone. 14 CHAIR JONES: That would be great. 15 Thank you. 16 MS. WINE-BANKS: Okay. 17 CHAIR JONES: There's a very minor edit 18 on page 2 in the second paragraph. "Who was a 19 victim," and I think that's right. I think the 20 point was that she's not a former victim. 21 MS. WINE-BANKS: Well, it's all -- I 22 added the "who is." It said something else which

1	was just grammatically incorrect.
2	CHAIR JONES: Okay.
3	MS. WINE-BANKS: That "who is" was
4	necessary to make it a correct statement of who
5	and what she was.
6	CHAIR JONES: Okay. Well, it reads fine
7	to me right now.
8	MS. WINE-BANKS: Yes.
9	CHAIR JONES: Any other comments or
LO	changes, suggestions? Okay.
L1	Okay. Well, here we run straight into
L2	Professor Schulholfer's comment, but first let's
L3	just deal with what is changed in terms of what
L4	appears in red here. I think all that does is be
L5	a numerical piece, which I didn't have a problem
L6	with.
L7	MS. KEPROS: Can I say something?
L8	CHAIR JONES: Yes, go ahead. Sure,
L9	Laurie.
20	MS. KEPROS: Yes, sorry. This is Laurie
21	Kepros. On page 2, it's sort of the first place
22	another issue arises, and I just emailed Glen

about this within the hour, so I don't think the rest of you maybe have been apprised of it. Our recommendations around Issue 2 are inconsistent in some places. It recommends a change to statute or MCM, in other places it says or the Benchbook, and other parts it says just change the Manual for Courts-Martial, so we need to straighten that out. It's both here in the summary recommendation and in the broader recommendation, that inconsistency is also present.

CHAIR JONES: You know, can we deal with this right now because I thought we were not going to change the statute, we were just going to make it clear that those defenses still existed because our concern was that if we change the statute, then people would start saying well, if you put it in now, it mustn't have been in before. That's my recollection, but I'm -Laurie, what's yours?

MS. KEPROS: I remember that conversation, too. I don't remember like a final vote, but I remember that conversation you just

described.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DEAN SCHENCK: This is Lisa. I agree with that, as well.

LTCOL HINES: Judge Jones?

CHAIR JONES: Is that you, Glen?

LTCOL HINES: Yes.

CHAIR JONES: Yes.

LTCOL HINES: What I would recommend, and I answered Ms. Kepros' email with that's probably my fault for not tightening up the language in front of the report. I think the easiest thing would be to make the recommendation specific to clarify that the defenses are available in the Manual. Otherwise, I agree with what you said, Judge. If we're talking about putting it in the statute, now we're going to go back and change 120(f) on defenses, which is very broadly worded. And I think the concern expressed was if you start to list specific defenses in the statute, then maybe by implication you're excluding others. So, that would be my recommendation. It's still consistent with your

recommendation that it be clarified, but it would 1 2 just be much less painful to do it in the Manual for Courts-Martial. 3 4 CHAIR JONES: Okay. So, the answer to 5 2 is no, the statute doesn't have to do it, but we would make a recommendation that it be 6 clarified in the Manual for Courts-Martial. Is 7 that what we're all agreed upon? I think that's 8 9 where we came out. That was my recollection. 10 LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am. 11 CHAIR JONES: Okay. So, you'll make 12 that change for us, Glen? 13 LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am. 14 CHAIR JONES: Okay, great. 15 LTCOL HINES: I'll fix those. 16 CHAIR JONES: Laurie, is that --17 MS. KEPROS: That addresses my concern. 18 And, again, we'll see the similar inconsistency 19 in the fuller description in the recommendation. 20 CHAIR JONES: Right. It will have to be taken out there, as well. 21 22 MS. KEPROS: Yes, that's on page 12.

CHAIR JONES: Great. Okay. I don't have 1 2 a problem with the additional language in the first paragraph on page 3. I think it makes it 3 4 clearer. We're just saying where our recommended 5 definition would appear. So, I'm not sure I understand that. What are we saying? 6 7 LTCOL HINES: Well, the way --CHAIR JONES: Incapable of consenting. 8 9 I'm sorry. We're saying "incapable of consenting" 10 will appear at 120(g)(8), and a new definition of 11 cognitive ability is 120(g)(9). That's fine. 12 LTCOL HINES: Okay. 13 CHAIR JONES: Any other -- could we 14 move on to the next paragraph then on 3? 15 HON. HOLTZMAN: Sorry, Barbara. I have 16 something that's not -- that doesn't relate to 17 the new language, but it's clearing up some 18 points in the prior language. It said that the --19 that something is an issue at many prosecutions. 20 It should be in many prosecutions, that statement 21 is wrong. 22 CHAIR JONES: Right.

HON. HOLTZMAN: And, also, the other 1 2 thing I would like to add is that it's not just that the -- will create a problem for counsel or 3 4 judges, but it may also create a problem for 5 panel members in terms of their ability to understand. So, I don't have language but, you 6 know, I just wanted to raise those points. 7 CHAIR JONES: Well, we can add panel 8 9 members in the first sentence, with 10 practitioners, "including military judges, prosecutors, defense counsel, and panel members 11 12 and appellate courts." We have to distinguish 13 between the group of practitioners and the panel 14 members, and then the appellate courts, but I 15 think we can do that. 16 HON. HOLTZMAN: Well, it's up to you. 17 I mean, I don't really care where it goes, but it 18 should be --19 CHAIR JONES: Okay. So, Glen, why don't 20 we try to add that somewhere where it goes. 21 LTCOL HINES: Yes. 22 CHAIR JONES: I think we all agree that

we want panel members -- it to be not confusing 1 2 for panel members, as well. LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am. I'll add that 3 4 language. HON. HOLTZMAN: And the "in" part 5 should be changed, as well. 6 7 CHAIR JONES: Right. It should be "in many sexual assault," not "at many sexual 8 assault" --9 10 LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am. 11 CHAIR JONES: -- "and abusive contact 12 prosecutions." Okay. MS. KEPROS: This is Laurie Kepros. On 13 14 that comment about some of the litany of people 15 who would be interested in this definition, I 16 wonder if we rework that just to make it even 17 broader, because it isn't even just the people 18 involved in litigation, or panel members. There's 19 that notice issue for all Servicemembers, and 20 having, you know, guidance for what makes 21 something criminal. 22 CHAIR JONES: You know, we said

something like that later with respect -- I don't 1 2 know if it was with respect to this. Glen, do you know what I'm talking about? We also said it was 3 4 the counsel for --LTCOL HINES: Yes, Judge. I don't know 5 which issue, but that's come up quite a bit, the 6 notion of just, you know, during the training 7 being able to --8 9 CHAIR JONES: Right. 10 LTCOL HINES: -- tell all your 11 Servicemembers what level of conduct is expected. 12 So, I can add Servicemembers into -- along with 13 panel members into one of these sentences. 14 CHAIR JONES: Okay. After you do that, 15 we'll have time to re-jigger it if we still have 16 some tweaks. But you'll do that and change the 17 "at" to "in," and make sure we add panel members. 18 LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am. 19 CHAIR JONES: And then we can take a 20 look at that. Thank you. Anything -- go ahead. 21 Sorry. 22 MS. WINE-BANKS: I just was going to

say I sent Glen the redraft of that paragraph, or our proposal.

LTCOL HINES: Okay, ma'am. Thank you.

MS. WINE-BANKS: It actually turned out
-- it turned out to be very simple to do. I moved
things around so that it just read, "Overall, the
Subcommittee determined that Article 120 provides
a reasonably effective statutory framework for
prosecution of sexual assault offenses in the
military, but that some definitions and terms in
Article 120 are sufficiently confusing or vague
as to create uncertainty," so I put that part in
there and then ended with the next -- what was
the second sentence. "The Subcommittee determined
change or amendment is not warranted for nine of
the issues it reviewed."

CHAIR JONES: I just followed along with you on that last paragraph on page 1, and that sounds like it does the trick. I like it.

So, you'll send that out in red so everyone can take a look at it, Glen.

LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIR JONES: Okay. Thanks, Jill.

Alright. I think we're on page 3, paragraph 2. Let me just take a quick look here. Oh, okay. Here we go. This is Professor Schulhofer's note. This is simple, and I agree with it. Since bodily harm is being removed, we have to make sure that the subparagraphs are renumbered. Any issues with that?

MS. WINE-BANKS: No.

CHAIR JONES: Okay, great. So that takes care I think of page 3, unless there are additional comments.

HON. HOLTZMAN: Yes. I have a question about the bodily harm if you don't mind for second.

CHAIR JONES: Not at all.

HON. HOLTZMAN: Maybe it belongs later when we go into bodily harm specifically, but what troubles me about the explanations we're giving about the confusion with bodily harm is that it ignores the whole question that the way the statute is structured it requires bodily harm

to be committed by bodily harm. I mean there's a 1 2 tautology and illogical problem in the structure of the paragraph. And that's never alluded to in 3 4 any of our discussions of bodily harm, and it 5 should be. CHAIR JONES: Just that it makes it 6 7 very difficult to understand at all. HON. HOLTZMAN: Exactly. That's another 8 9 way of putting it. 10 MS. WINE-BANKS: I think Liz is right 11 on that. 12 CHAIR JONES: You'd like to point out 13 just how bad it is, since we've gone to the 14 trouble of removing an entire concept. Alright. 15 HON. HOLTZMAN: The question is whether 16 it belongs here or whether it belongs later, and I am agnostic on that score. 17 18 CHAIR JONES: Okay. Alright. Why don't 19 we leave that open and see what kind of language 20 Glen and I come up with on that. Anyone who would 21 like to send in a little something, great. It

could go later where we're going in some depth,

or it could go here because, you know, most people only read, you know, the first couple of pages of a report. So, I'm open on that. Let's see what we decide to say, because I think that is important. We need to succinctly show how ridiculous, you know, it is when you're just trying to read through 120. Okay.

HON. HOLTZMAN: Okay. Well, if that's going to be in the front, I'd just like to add that maybe we ought to add that the language "bodily harm," is also itself confusing because it suggests the requirement of physical injury when, in fact, there is none.

CHAIR JONES: That's an easy thing to add. The other one may be more difficult to sort of say succinctly, but that's easy. I agree. That I think -- I think both could go in there. We just have to figure out how to say your first suggestion. Okay. Anything else?

Well, was there anything on page 4
that anyone wanted to talk about? There's nothing
added there. Well, I guess there was one sort of

change at the bottom in Issue 6, a little edit.

And it looks fine, express that this definition
was too narrow. So, hearing nothing more, I'll
move on to page 5.

In Issue 10, Professor Schulhofer, and
I think we all remember this, has raised concerns
about the level of knowledge as part
of --

LTCOL HINES: Judge Jones, first of all, you're breaking up a little bit.

CHAIR JONES: Yes, go ahead. Oh, I'm sorry.

No, this is -- if I could just succinctly explain it. Professor Schulhofer, as everyone probably recalls, has been concerned throughout the deliberations that our statute as written opens it up for the possibility that an accused could be convicted for mere negligence, and that was deliberated.

I think everyone else was satisfied that the statute -- you know, Congress has spoken

in this area, and they requiring the Government to prove not only the incapacity, but that the accused knew or reasonably should have known. And so I think his concern here in the bubble comment was, he just wanted me to explain in more detail that the Article 120 isn't on all fours with Title 18. His concern was that we may have misrepresented that Article 120 and Title 18 were basically the same, and his position was that our statute is a little broader in terms of being able to capture perhaps some criminal conduct that Title 18 couldn't. So, I think as stated there, he was satisfied with it. He's seen the redraft, and I think he's satisfied that what it states now clarifies his concern.

CHAIR JONES: Okay. I don't have a problem with clarifying that. And I do think that -- I don't remember anyone else being concerned, or at least having any strong opinion other than that we should go ahead with what Congress has already written, which is that reasonably should have known is sufficient for an accused to be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

held responsible. 1 2 MS. KEPROS: Well, I will say -- this is part of the record. I think it should be an 3 4 actual knowledge standard everywhere, and I'm 5 addressing that in my dissenting comments. CHAIR JONES: Oh, okay. Good. 6 7 HON. HOLTZMAN: It should be a what standard? I didn't hear what you said. It should 8 be what kind of standard? 9 10 MS. KEPROS: Actual knowledge. 11 HON. HOLTZMAN: Okay. 12 CHAIR JONES: Alright. Well, then we'll 13 have it --14 MS. WINE-BANKS: I think that Professor 15 Schulhofer also pointed out that the reasonably 16 should have known standard is a quite high one of 17 almost reckless disregard. I can't remember the 18 exact phrase that he included in his discussion, 19 but that it would be higher than just say should 20 have known. 21 CHAIR JONES: Right. Well, does anyone

-- okay. Then, Laurie, you're going to bring this

1	up. I'm sure Professor Schulhofer will root you
2	on. He will be you two will be the dissent on
3	that, but I think everyone else is solid that we
4	should leave what Congress says the level the
5	necessary level intent for the accused is as
6	Congress has written it. Any other comments about
7	that?
8	MS. WINE-BANKS: No. Maybe we should
9	make it clear that we're relying on the
10	Congressional standard as set in this paragraph.
11	CHAIR JONES: Well, I think we already
12	don't we already say that 120?
13	LTCOL HINES: Yes. Judge, I would just
14	say deeper in the
15	MS. WINE-BANKS: Oh, it does say it.
16	LTCOL HINES: Deeper in the report when
17	we go into it, we do reference on page 36, we
18	do reference the last sentence on page 36,
19	"The Subcommittee determined the standard
20	established by Congress in Article 120(b)(2) to
21	(3) is neither unclear, nor ambiguous; therefore,
22	the Subcommittee does not recommend changes to

the standard."

MS. WINE-BANKS: Okay. Great.

LTCOL HINES: Okay.

CHAIR JONES: And I think that still preserves your notion, Laurie, and Professor Schulhofer's, that it's not fair. We're just saying it's Congress' mandate.

Alright. So, under 12 --

MS. WINE-BANKS: I have a question on Laurie's suggested edit.

CHAIR JONES: Yes.

MS. WINE-BANKS: I can understand, because her edit would make the answer reflect the question where the question is just when sexual conduct is involved. It doesn't say when consensual. But as I recall our discussion, the issue really was that when it's consensual, it should not be a sex crime, although it could be punished under 92 or 93, appropriately so. And so that it isn't -- I think we lose that distinction by taking away the word "consensual," so maybe the answer is to say "when consensual sexual

conduct is involved, and that 120 is appropriate and effective when non-consensual sex is involved." I mean, 120 is already adequate for non-consensual.

MS. KEPROS: So, the reason that I suggested striking the word "consensual," was because there was just such an array of scenarios presented by the witnesses, some of them were sex crimes, and some of them were already prosecutable even under Article 120. Some of them involved maltreatment that was not a sex crime, and that was why I thought they did not limit their comments about their ability to prosecute to sort of the way the question is framed, "inappropriate relationships or maltreatment." And there could be maltreatment that is a nonconsensual sexual conduct. Whether we prosecute it under 120 or something else would really just depend on what the behavior was, and I thought there was too much nuance in the testimony to keep the word "consensual" because they didn't limit their comments to only consensual sexual

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

activity; although, that was certainly one example of the kinds of things they might prosecute outside of 120.

MS. WINE-BANKS: Right, and I understand that, and I agree with what you're saying, but the question that we were charged with answering, in order to answer it fully, I think we'd have to say that it's effective when consensual sex is involved to use these other portions, but that when non-consensual sex is involved as the definition of inappropriate relationship or maltreatment, then it can go under 120. I just don't want to lose the -because our focus, even though witnesses may have gone beyond the question that we were interested in, it doesn't change that the testimony when it addressed the question we were interested in, so they said, well, yes, I mean, when non-consensual you have a clear sex crime under 120. When it is consensual, this goes to our discussion of it shouldn't be a per se illegal crime because it can be consensual. And I just thought by taking

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

away the word "consensual," it just makes it -it loses that distinction, and could be put back
in with the caveat that when it is nonconsensual, then 120 does cover it.

CHAIR JONES: Anybody else?

DEAN SCHENCK: This is Lisa. I see both sides to this, because it's problematic to call these relationships consensual when they're basically members of different ranks, and subordinates are technically, you know, not considered to be consensual from a military perspective. On the other hand, we do use 120 for the forcible sexual conduct. I'm just not sure how to fix that. And if we remove -- if we do remove the word "consensual," it's problematic. And you can't just put inappropriate sexual conduct because, you know, that doesn't fix it either.

CHAIR JONES: Right. Maybe what we really want to say is can be appropriate and effective. I don't know that we need to define what kind of sexual conduct.

1	DEAN SCHENCK: That's a great idea. May
2	be appropriate and effective, I agree with that,
3	with the Judge.
4	MG WOODWARD: And leave out the
5	consensual piece and just talk about I mean,
6	because really what it is is an inappropriate
7	relationship that we're addressing in something
8	other than Article 120.
9	CHAIR JONES: Yes. Well, my suggestion
10	is we just say can be appropriate and effective
11	when sexual conduct is involved.
12	DEAN SCHENCK: That's absolutely it,
13	when sexual conduct is involved. That's perfect.
14	CHAIR JONES: Okay. So, is everybody
15	okay with that? Laurie?
16	MS. KEPROS: Yes, that's great.
17	CHAIR JONES: Okay. Good. Got that,
18	Glen?
19	LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am.
20	CHAIR JONES: Good.
21	LTCOL HINES: I'll fix it.
22	CHAIR JONES: Alright, great. Okay. Can

1	we move to page 6?
2	HON. HOLTZMAN: Before we finish page
3	5, there's a typo.
4	CHAIR JONES: Okay, Liz.
5	HON. HOLTZMAN: I could just give that
6	to Glen. I don't have to bother you. Right?
7	LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am.
8	CHAIR JONES: Yes, okay, great. Page 6,
9	I didn't have any problems with page 6. I see
10	nothing on there in terms of suggestions. Any
11	problems with page 6? Okay.
12	Now, making it to page 7, I don't
13	let's see now. What are we doing here? So, Liz,
14	we have your recommendation that the statutory
15	text be put in there. Any problems with that,
16	anyone?
17	MS. WINE-BANKS: I thought that helped
18	a lot.
	a iot.
19	CHAIR JONES: Yes.
19 20	
	CHAIR JONES: Yes.

1	recommend changes in many of them.
2	CHAIR JONES: Sure.
3	MS. WINE-BANKS: That's what we were
4	working off of.
5	CHAIR JONES: Right. You mean inside
6	the box rather than
7	MS. WINE-BANKS: Inside the box, just
8	add the word "current UCMJ, Article 120." Because
9	we know what it is, but someone else reading it
10	may wonder if that's what we're proposing, as
11	opposed to what already exists.
12	CHAIR JONES: Oh, I see. As opposed to
13	what
14	MS. WINE-BANKS: I mean, they'll know
15	by the time they get to conclusions what it is.
16	CHAIR JONES: Yes.
17	MS. WINE-BANKS: But it's a simple
18	CHAIR JONES: I get it.
19	MS. WINE-BANKS: I thought it helped
20	having those words there at the beginning.
21	CHAIR JONES: Yes. Current as opposed
22	to proposed, so they don't have to wonder.

1 MS. WINE-BANKS: Right. 2 CHAIR JONES: I got it. 3 MS. WINE-BANKS: Exactly. 4 CHAIR JONES: Alright. Unless there's 5 anything else on 7, why don't I -- we go to 8. And there's some minor editing on 8 in the third 6 full paragraph. The edit looks fine to me. Okay? 7 No issues on 8? 8 9 On 9, there's simply some minor edits 10 which look fine in terms of numbering. And 11 nothing on 10. Does anyone have anything on those 12 pages they'd like to discuss, any issue, or 13 changes? 14 HON. HOLTZMAN: On page 10, just a 15 small, itty-bitty. Basically, we say this doesn't 16 amount to consent, and this doesn't amount to 17 consent, but the last sentence on page 10 of A 18 says that, "The conduct at issue shall not 19 constitute consent." Why don't we just say does 20 so that we're using the same verb? 21 CHAIR JONES: Okay. I see -- I got. 22 Yes, A basically --

1	HON. HOLTZMAN: The last line of A we
2	have "shall not constitute."
3	CHAIR JONES: "Shall not constitute."
4	HON. HOLTZMAN: And one we say does
5	not, which is the formulation that we use in all
6	in the other cases.
7	CHAIR JONES: That sounds right to me.
8	Any objections? Okay. So, "shall" becomes "does,"
9	on page 10 right above where B starts in Section
10	A.
11	LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am.
12	CHAIR JONES: Got it. Okay. Thanks,
13	Glen.
14	Alright. Now, moving to page 11 which
15	is Issue 2. And on that page, again, there are
16	very minor edits. Does anyone have any issues or
17	additional edits?
18	MS. WINE-BANKS: I'm sorry, on page 11?
19	CHAIR JONES: We're on page 11, yes.
20	MS. WINE-BANKS: I'm sorry. Could we go
21	back to page 10 again. Just in reading it again,
	back to page 10 again. Dabe in reading it again,

1	right, we're saying here's what consent means.
2	And in that same definition we're saying
3	submission from things is not consent, does not
4	constitute consent, sleeping and unconscious is
5	not consent. And then we say all the surrounding
6	circumstances are to be considered in determining
7	whether a person gave consent. So, isn't that
8	really limited to in all other circumstances
9	besides the ones specified above? Because I see
10	there's no circumstance of either sleeping,
11	unconscious, or incompetent.
12	CHAIR JONES: I think I read that to
13	I read that to mean all of the surrounding
14	circumstances of the case are always to be
15	considered.
16	MS. WINE-BANKS: Right.
17	CHAIR JONES: That's how I read it.
18	MS. WINE-BANKS: Okay. Alright. Fine.
19	Page 11, I have no comments.
20	CHAIR JONES: Okay, great. Thanks.
21	Anybody else on 11?
22	MS. KEPROS: 11 is where we need to

clean up our recommendation to Manual for Courts-1 2 Martial --3 CHAIR JONES: Right. MS. KEPROS: -- that has all the other 4 5 ones. CHAIR JONES: Okay. So, we need to 6 7 clean up the fact that we're not going to try to change the statute, and we're only going to the 8 9 courts-martial manual. If we do that, are we 10 okay, Laurie? I think that's what we're talking 11 about here. 12 MS. KEPROS: Yes. I'm just mentioning 13 this is where that line just needs to change. You 14 see, if you go down to D, and in fact that may be 15 on to the next page. 16 CHAIR JONES: Yes. Well, Glen, you're 17 going to scrutinize this section and make sure 18 that we make it consistent with what our decision 19 is. 20 LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am. 21 CHAIR JONES: Add statutory --22 LTCOL HINES: Right. I'll fix it in C

and D, and I'll note that when I get through making all of these amendments, when I route the report back around, I'll put a bubble comment out to the right so that everyone can see that I've captured, come back, stop my work and make sure that I've captured all the edits and fixes that we're talking about today.

CHAIR JONES: Great. Wonderful.

Okay. There are a number of pages, 12, 13, 14 that have no comments from anyone, and no edits. Are there any additional comments or edits on those pages? Most of this is sort of the roundup, the rationale for referring it to testimony, and I think when I read it, it all sounded accurate to me with respect to that issue.

Alright. Now, we get to page 15, and we're talking about incapable of consenting. And we have a suggested addition by Ms. Kepros, which I think is -- works well. Are there any comments or additional discussion about page 15?

HON. HOLTZMAN: What is her suggestion?

CHAIR JONES: Basically, it's language 1 2 that came from the Peace case, or Pease case. I don't know how they pronounce it. And I became 3 4 extremely fond of the Pease case when I read it. 5 I'm sorry, Liz. What did you ask? HON. HOLTZMAN: No, no. I just wanted 6 to know what -- so, the suggested change that Ms. 7 Kepros made was in red? 8 9 CHAIR JONES: Yes, I'm sorry. It is, 10 it's in red. 11 HON. HOLTZMAN: Okay, good. 12 CHAIR JONES: Okay. 13 BG SCHWENK: This is Jim. You know by 14 doing that it does highlight the cognitive versus 15 mental issue, because the Pease court uses both 16 cognitive and mental. And we decided at the end I 17 think the last time we talked to do away with 18 mental and just use cognitive twice. 19 CHAIR JONES: We did. 20 BG SCHWENK: So, it does show that we 21 made a slightly different language choice than 22 the court did.

MS. WINE-BANKS: Well, I think the 1 2 court is defining cognitive ability as including mental and physical ability, as well. So, they're 3 4 using mental in a different way than mental 5 ability. HON. HOLTZMAN: I don't agree with 6 7 that. I think that actually -- there may be a difference in the meaning of those two terms. I 8 9 didn't have time to look it up, and a good enough 10 dictionary actually here. But cognitive does not imply physical, in my understanding. 11 12 CHAIR JONES: No, and I don't think 13 Pease says that either. It says lacked the 14 cognitive ability to appreciate the sexual 15 conduct in question or the physical --16 HON. HOLTZMAN: Right. 17 CHAIR JONES: -- mental ability. 18 HON. HOLTZMAN: Right. 19 BG SCHWENK: Right. And then we went 20 along and said the cognitive ability to 21 appreciate, and then we changed in the second

formulation, instead of mental or physical

ability we put down mental or -- I mean, physical or cognitive. And I --

CHAIR JONES: We all agreed on cognitive rather than mental, and didn't try to use both mental and cognitive like --

BG SCHWENK: Right. That was after we had said -- we had mental to begin with and it came out of Pease, so then we said, oh, well, now we have a distinction between mental and cognitive. What should we do? And we said well, let's come up with a definition of cognitive so there's a difference. And then later on we did away with mental all together, which calls into question whether we still need a definition of cognitive.

CHAIR JONES: Right. And that's sort of

-- I think Professor Schulhofer's is a little

anti the definition now. Who gave us that Rules

of whatever it is that says if you can avoid a

definition, avoid a definition in a Manual for

Courts-Martial? Glen, was that your work?

LTCOL HINES: That was Kirt Marsh's,

Judge. Kirt's here and can answer some questions on that, if you want to discuss that issue.

CHAIR JONES: Oh, no. I understand the general principle, which is a good one, which is if you don't really -- if you avoid having to define something, you should try to because it's -- you know, when you put words in, then other people come up with shades of different meanings, and wonder whether they're included or not included.

But, anyway, leaving that aside, I guess the real issue is -- well, I happen to like putting the Pease language in there. And I don't think it matters that we've dumped mental and have all decided just to use cognitive. I don't -- I think the -- you know, I might have preferred mental over cognitive, or cognitive over mental, but I certainly prefer just using one of those.

Yes?

HON. HOLTZMAN: I'm just going to go -this is Liz Holtzman. I'm just going to read
something. I just looked up the definition on the

internet, which is very dangerous, of cognitive. But it deals with the act or process of knowing and perceiving. So, this would revisit the issue of mental. But if it's the process of knowing and perceiving, that is not the same as the ability to make and communicate a decision. The mental processes may not be the same. That's why I suggest that maybe that we stick with the formulation of Pease here, because cognitive may have a more restrictive meaning than mental with regard to the issue of the ability to make and communicate a decision. And this is coming from the internet, so you can say, Liz, it's ridiculous, but I don't have a dictionary in front of me.

LTCOL HINES: Well, Judge Jones?

CHAIR JONES: Yes?

LTCOL HINES: I would just -- this is Glen. I would just interpose that I think one of the biggest concerns that the Members had at the last meeting on this was we were -- we didn't like the use of "and" as opposed to "or." We

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

wanted to be as inclusive as possible in 1 2 protecting the victim, and that's why we went back and we got rid of all the ands, and we put 3 4 or, so that we could give the prosecution several 5 different places, you know, to put their victim. And I think that last phrase, you know, "or does 6 7 not possess the physical or cognitive ability to make or communicate a decision regarding such 8 9 conduct." I think what we were trying to do there 10 is cover either the victim who's physically 11 incapacitated but mentally aware of what's going on, but she can't physically express her 12 13 decision, but also to cover the person who's 14 mentally incapacitated and can't even formulate 15 the decision. So, I think really what we're 16 talking about is, do we use cognitive or mental? 17 HON. HOLTZMAN: I'm making a different 18 point, Glen, though. That's not my point. My 19 point is that you're aligning or combining the 20 two factors of apprehension or perception and the 21 ability to make a decision, and then knowing that 22 you're communicating it or intending to

communicate it, because it's not just physical when you want to communicate. The brain has to send a signal to the --

CHAIR JONES: But I think it does say,
Liz, does not possess the physical or cognitive
ability to make or communicate a decision.

HON. HOLTZMAN: But that's my problem. The cognitive may mean just the perception ability. It may not be -- let's just -- and maybe this is an incorrect metaphor here, but let's say you have a perception so you understand or you don't understand what's going on. And so you maybe understand what's going on, but you can't make the decision. You don't have the brain ability for whatever reason to make the decision to say no, or you don't have the --for whatever reason you can't formulate the words to say no. You can't give that signal to your mouth, or your tongue, or whatever. And I'm not sure that that mental process is called cognition. That's what I'm saying.

The second part of that, you know,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

understanding what's going on. I have no problem using cognition there. But the second part, which is, you know, do you have the mental -- do you have the ability to express and communicate. That may not be a cognition function. That's all I'm saying.

MS. WINE-BANKS: Liz, for example, if you were aphasic, you would not be able to get the -- you know the words you want to say, no, but your brain doesn't connect to the speech center.

HON. HOLTZMAN: Right.

MS. WINE-BANKS: So that you can't say the word.

HON. HOLTZMAN: Right. So, what I'm saying is at that point, that may not be a cognition issue, that may be a mental process issue. That's all I'm saying. So, that's why I'm concerned that the word cognition may be too narrow in this circumstance, or inappropriate. That's all.

CHAIR JONES: Well, I mean, I always

liked mental better, but -- because I think it 1 2 covers more ground. HON. HOLTZMAN: That's the point I'm 3 4 making. That's the point I'm making. 5 CHAIR JONES: I don't remember the whole discussion, but I do recall most people 6 7 wanted cognitive, I thought. Does anybody remember the --8 9 HON. HOLTZMAN: I think it was Lisa who 10 was the big advocate for --11 CHAIR JONES: Pushing for cognitive. 12 HON. HOLTZMAN: I was at that point, 13 but now I've changed my mind, that's all. I'm 14 just raising it because I'm a little concerned. 15 That's all. I like the way Pease says it. 16 CHAIR JONES: Yes, if Pease had just 17 kept it mental, mental, I don't think we'd be 18 here. I honestly think mental is simpler. I 19 always favored it. I don't know, do we want to 20 talk about this some more? Do other people --21 what's the group's thinking on this now in terms

of mental versus cognitive? I honestly don't

remember why -- I would like the broader term. 1 2 For some reason, I think of mental as being more broad. And, certainly, Pease does use it when 3 4 they talk about mental or physical ability. 5 Mental ability to -- we wouldn't be trying to --MS. WINE-BANKS: Well, if you change 6 7 the word "cognitive" in our recommendation to mental, you would then have mental, physical, and 8 9 cognitive all in the definition. 10 CHAIR JONES: I would take cognitive 11 out. 12 MS. WINE-BANKS: You would then say 13 does not possess the mental ability to appreciate 14 the nature of the conduct, or does not possess, 15 is that the physical or mental ability to make --16 CHAIR JONES: Yes. 17 MS. WINE-BANKS: Okay. 18 BG SCHWENK: Yes, this is Jim. I like 19 mental in both places. It avoids the problem of 20 what the difference is between cognitive and 21 mental, and it avoids people dancing on what Liz

was bringing up, and our definition brings it up,

what the heck is cognitive and, you know, what isn't. And just it makes it broader, lowers the bar, and is simpler I think, probably, to use in court.

Glen again. My question would be, if we do that, what do we do with the proposed -- and this gets into Professor Schulhofer -- and I think we could clean it all up right now. You know, Professor Schulhofer's concern with the proposed definition of cognitive ability. And Kirt wrote on that about maybe -- I mean, I guess there's two choices now. You either change 9 to mental ability, and define that, or you just get rid of a definition of mental ability all together.

MS. KEPROS: This is Laurie Kepros. I think we should not define it. As I was processing, you know, one had been drafted in our last call, as well as Professor Schulhofer's recommendation, I thought, gosh, this could be so confusing to a Panelist. Information about remembering could become conflated with being

memory on the stand and other things, and it 1 2 could really just be --CHAIR JONES: That's a really good 3 point. What does everybody else think? 4 MS. WINE-BANKS: I think hearing the 5 conversation, I think the use of the word 6 "mental" and no definition is a good conclusion. 7 CHAIR JONES: Anyone else? 8 9 MG WOODWARD: This is Maggie. I concur. 10 I was one of the ones who didn't like the term 11 mental, because I just thought that a Panel would 12 interpret that as a mental disability more than a 13 cognitive impairment. But I'd buy into the 14 argument now, especially if we use no definition. 15 CHAIR JONES: Great. Okay, anybody 16 else? So, Glen, can you do this for us, make the 17 changes? 18 LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am. 19 CHAIR JONES: Okay. Then we'll all have 20 to take a look at that section again. We've got 21 nine more days, so we can -- if any of us, how

many, you know, would like further conversation

on this, do not hesitate. This is important, even 1 2 though, of course, the JPP will be looking at this, and may make their own modifications. But I 3 4 think we should take advantage of the time we 5 have left, if anyone has any additional feelings about this. 6 7 MS. KEPROS: I actually was curious about that. So, are we in a situation where we 8 9 don't have an earlier publication deadline than 10 the presentation on the 11th? 11 CHAIR JONES: I didn't really -- I 12 don't know, when were we get our report to the 13 JPP, to Ms. Holtzman? 14 HON. HOLTZMAN: Yes, I need to know 15 that. 16 LTCOL HINES: Well, it's Glen, Judge. 17 So, our plan was to, obviously, you know, it's 18 scheduled in the agenda for the Subcommittee to 19 report out next Friday at the JPP's meeting. 20 CHAIR JONES: Right. 21 LTCOL HINES: And backward planning for 22 that in our office was, we were going to try to

finalize the written report here in our office. 1 2 And finalize means routing what we're doing today back around to the Members, making sure it says 3 4 what you want it to say, but we would need to get 5 that to Ms. Faulk to do her scrub on it. And then we would print up copies in our office here and 6 7 disseminate those next Friday to anyone who wants a copy of it. And, obviously, including the JPP. 8 9 And, you know, Dean Anderson, Professor 10 Schulhofer, and Ms. Wine-Banks would be giving 11 their presentation and delivering the report next 12 Friday. 13 CHAIR JONES: Right. 14 LTCOL HINES: So, it's a pretty short 15 timeline for us to finalize this. 16 CHAIR JONES: Okay. Well, I know, Glen, 17 you're probably going to get all of this back to 18 us sometime tomorrow. 19

LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIR JONES: Early tomorrow. This is assuming, since it's already 11:30, we make it through the rest of this. And then let everyone -

20

21

- any serious issues after we get this next 1 2 version back, will have to have an immediate reaction because I think -- well, we know the 3 4 tight time frame for the Staff. So, you need it. 5 I don't think this will be as hard to duplicate and all that as the RSP report was, so we don't 6 7 need quite the same lead time, but you at least need to know you've got a final in your hands by 8 9 what, Wednesday? That you can start, you know, 10 making copies of. And you'll probably have it by 11 the end of Tuesday, Glen? 12 LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIR JONES: Does that sound right?

LTCOL HINES: That's right. That should be -- I mean, if we waited much longer than that, we're probably putting our presenters in a bit of a bind, I would think.

CHAIR JONES: Right. Right. Well, let's say this then. Assuming Glen gets this back to us tomorrow early, let's make sure that everybody has their comments in by the end of the day tomorrow. And then that will -- and, frankly, I'm

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

assuming there are going to be very few. And then 1 2 when you send those out, Glen, it may be that, you know, if you don't hear anything from anybody 3 4 by, you know, immediately, they're good. So, it's 5 going to be on the Members of the Subcommittee to alert you by say 1:00 on Wednesday that they have 6 some issue with the new changes. I don't think 7 there'll be any. But I don't think another phone 8 9 conference --it's hard to put people together for 10 a phone conference. I'm sorry. I cut somebody 11 off.

MS. KEPROS: Yes, I'm sorry. This is

Laurie Kepros. My reason for asking is just that,

because I am preparing dissenting comments. I

want to make sure I'm responding to what is

actually being recommended in my draft.

CHAIR JONES: Right. Understood. But you're going to have to publish your draft, too. We have to publish your draft, too. You've got to get it to them.

MS. KEPROS: Right. So, I want to be timely.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CHAIR JONES: Well, look, I'm assuming 1 2 that what we're hearing today is going to be it, except for minor changes, hopefully. 3 4 Okay. So, I think we're -- since we've 5 decided we're getting rid of the definition and we're changing cognitive to mental, don't anybody 6 7 tell Lisa -- we can't do any more with pages 16 or 17 until Glen makes those changes. 8 9 Okay. Now we're talking about Issue 4 10 on page 18, the definition concerning the 11 accused's administration of a drug or intoxicant. 12 I didn't think that one was particularly 13 controversial, and I don't see any comments or 14 changes on pages 18 and 19. Is there any 15 discussion on that one? Okay. 16 HON. HOLTZMAN: Judge Jones, can I just go back to 16, because there's a small typo here. 17 18 CHAIR JONES: Sure. 19 HON. HOLTZMAN: D, the second line 20 right after D where it says, "Part of the 21 Subcommittee's redraft at Article 120," shouldn't

22

it be "of Article 120?"

CHAIR JONES: Yes, should be "of."

HON. HOLTZMAN: Okay.

LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIR JONES: Thank you. Alright. Now we're at 5, the definition of bodily harm. And that's where we're going to add those two concepts that Liz brought up earlier.

LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIR JONES: And they should be both in the Executive Summary and here. So, that's one way we'll be looking at what you come up with, and if anybody has some suggestions on how to phrase it, send them in to Glen right away. I do think it's helpful to show that it was totally unworkable in terms of someone not in the military looking at it and trying to figure out what it meant.

Okay. On page 20, I just see that there's some language. Again, we're in the sections where it's essentially what was the rationale for this decision, testimony, summaries, and conclusions. And that goes through

from 20 to 22. Were there any other edits that anyone wishes to suggest now with respect to 20, 21, 22, bodily harm, other than the more global issue we're going to deal with?

MS. KEPROS: No. Just looking at page 22, that blue line, the language gets at one of the concepts Liz was describing?

CHAIR JONES: Yes, it does. It takes care of the fact that you think some kind of physical injury is required. So, really, the only one that's going to need some phrasing is the first one. Okay, great. That can just be lifted from 22 and put right in the Executive Summary it looks like, and then we'll just fiddle with the other ones. Anything else, 20 to 22?

HON. HOLTZMAN: Yes. I have a question on 20 at the end of the first paragraph. There's a sentence there which I don't really understand, and I don't -- I don't understand it, and I don't understand why it belongs there at all. And that's just -- says, "Thus, in cases in which bodily harm alleges the sexual act or contact

1	itself, lack of consent can effectively become an
2	element of the offense." I don't exactly know
3	what that means, but why does that need to be
4	there anyway?
5	MS. WINE-BANKS: Where are you reading
6	from, Liz?
7	HON. HOLTZMAN: The end of page 20, the
8	first full paragraph, the last three lines. It
9	starts, "Thus, in cases."
LO	BG SCHWENK: Yes. Liz, this is Jim. I
L1	think in all of our questions when we get down to
L2	the A part, JPP rationale, it's just a quote from
L3	the JPP report of February 2015.
L4	LTCOL HINES: That's right.
L5	BG SCHWENK: I just pulled that report
L6	and looked at what the bodily harm question,
L7	and it's the exact language. That's where that
L8	came from.
L9	HON. HOLTZMAN: Alright.
20	CHAIR JONES: You know what, Liz, you
21	or I probably said it.
22	HON. HOLTZMAN: Okay. And then there's

1 just two small things. 2 CHAIR JONES: Yes. HON. HOLTZMAN: On the next page 21, it 3 says "memories help in cases of poor or old 4 5 memories." Shouldn't it be just memory? Anyway. 6 CHAIR JONES: I'm sorry. Where are we 7 again, on 21? HON. HOLTZMAN: Page 20, line 2. 8 9 CHAIR JONES: Oh, 20. 10 HON. HOLTZMAN: 21, line 2. Memories 11 sound like, you know, I have a lot of memories, nice memories of my parents. That's not what 12 13 we're talking about here, so I think memory is 14 more appropriate, but that's just my own --15 CHAIR JONES: Yes, we could change it 16 to memory without probably disturbing the 17 testimony of -- whoever made that testimony. 18 Okay. Anything else between 20 and 22? 19 HON. HOLTZMAN: Yes, on page 22 the 20 first full paragraph, line 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, where 21 it says, "However, bodily harm in lay terms often 22 means," -- okay, no, that's fine. That's fine.

I'm all right with that. Sorry. 1 2 CHAIR JONES: Okay. And I think we're done through 22. Any other discussion? Okay. 3 4 Moving on to 6 on page 23, the 5 definition of threatening wrongful action. This is another one where we go through and decide no 6 7 change. And what I see on these three pages -- on 22 there's some editing. Liz, you recommended 8 9 some edits here. 10 HON. HOLTZMAN: I did. 11 CHAIR JONES: Let's see. The edit looks 12 fine. It's in already. 13 HON. HOLTZMAN: I'm fine with the 14 edits. 15 CHAIR JONES: Okay. Were there any 16 other comments on 23, 24, 25? Okay. 17 Moving to page 26, Issue 7, how should 18 fear be defined? We recommended no change on 19 this. And, again, I see a few edits that have 20 been suggested and incorporated that look fine to 21 me. Does anyone have any additional edits or any

concerns with the text as it is now? Okay, great.

HON. HOLTZMAN: Judge Jones, when I 1 2 read this on page 26, the last paragraph, the D paragraph, or the last part of the D paragraph. I 3 4 thought that it was really dense and very hard to 5 understand. I didn't have time to rewrite it, but maybe somebody would want to look at it and see 6 if it could be made a little clearer or easier to 7 -- for a lay reader. 8 9 CHAIR JONES: Okay. Alright. I mean, 10 Glen, if someone could take a look at that, that 11 might be helpful. I realize it's -- you're trying 12 to create a synopsis of testimony there, and 13 maybe none of it is very coherent when it's all 14 put together. But why don't you take a look at it 15 for us. Okay? 16 LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am. I will. 17 CHAIR JONES: That's Section B on page 18 26. 19 LTCOL HINES: Right. 20 CHAIR JONES: Was there anything else 21 in that section through page 27? Okay. 22 Eight, is the definition of force too narrow? And, again, because of our considerations of other issues, we decided that we didn't need a change here either. But I do note that there's very minor edits in this section, so let me go back. If anybody has any concerns, or additional comments, or problems with that.

HON. HOLTZMAN: On what pages?

CHAIR JONES: These are 28, 29, and 30.

Okay.

Alright. Now I am on page 31. This is the beginning of are the definitions of sexual act and sexual contact too narrow or overly broad? And we ended up making a recommendation in this area to the statute, it was to modify to change the statute. And are there any comments on the substance or any addition -- and there have been a few edits here which are minor. Are we content with this section? Okay. Hearing none, and I don't remember seeing any additional emails with comments in them, then we're good there.

At page 35, we begin the 10th issue about the accused's knowledge of a victim's

capacity to consent. And this we sort of touched

-- we touched on it earlier today. And what we

ultimately decided, that we were not going to

change, no change to Article 120. And I know

Laurie, this is the section where you and

Professor Schulhofer will have your dissent. Your

dissent, I don't know what Professor Schulhofer

may comment --

MS. KEPROS: Well, I found his email.

He had indicated he wasn't going to prepare a

written dissent.

CHAIR JONES: Right, he's not.

MS. KEPROS: He did ask, on page 37, which I would join in. So if you want to say two, or some, or something like that, Members of the Subcommittee.

CHAIR JONES: Yes. You know, we're going to print your dissent, your written dissent, as part of the presentation to the JPP, so we could -- and we could certainly -- we could do a short dissent on this issue and have both you and Professor Schulhofer's name. Do you want

to do it that way?

MS. KEPROS: One thing that I wanted to mention, he had suggested covering this paragraph out at page 37, and I would be fine like as if to be included in the Subcommittee Members who are - they are taking that stance. I'm not actually dissenting on the Subcommittee's position --

CHAIR JONES: I'm sorry. I can't hear you. I'm getting a lot of -- let me move.

MS. KEPROS: I'm not actually dissenting on the Subcommittee's position on Issue 10, because the decision there was to not remove a mens rea, so I'm actually addressing some of the other issues where we did not narrow the means rea to actual knowledge.

CHAIR JONES: I'm sorry.

MS. KEPROS: Yes.

CHAIR JONES: You know what, am I conflating two things here?

MS. KEPROS: Well, it isn't that you're confusing them. They all have at their core the same mens rea principle. It's just since the

1	Committee didn't remove any mens rea, I was fine
2	with that in Issue 10. But we're talking about
3	Issue 10.
4	CHAIR JONES: I just want to make sure
5	I'm clear. We're talking about Issue 10, which is
6	the
7	DEAN SCHENCK: This is Lisa. I've got
8	to get off the call. Should I try to dial back in
9	when I get back?
10	CHAIR JONES: Yes.
11	DEAN SCHENCK: I'll be gone for about
12	an hour.
13	MS. WINE-BANKS: I have to leave by
14	11:20, which is another 45 minutes, 50 minutes.
15	DEAN SCHENCK: Well, I'll give it a
16	shot. If it works, it works. If not, I will wait
17	for the changed report, take a look, and then I
18	will see you next week on Thursday.
19	CHAIR JONES: Wonderful.
20	DEAN SCHENCK: Thank you.
21	CHAIR JONES: Thank you.
22	DEAN SCHENCK: Bye.

CHAIR JONES: Bye-bye.

Okay. We don't need to -- this isn't a long thing. I see what your point is, which is we could just add -- we could add his paragraph.

And you would say that two members of the Subcommittee. Right?

MS. KEPROS: I can at least count those two.

CHAIR JONES: Pardon me?

MS. KEPROS: I can to two, anyway.

CHAIR JONES: Okay, good. So, at least that'll give Professor Schulhofer an ally with respect to this position. Okay, fair enough.

LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am.

HON. HOLTZMAN: Judge Jones, I just have a very short typo or grammatical issue on page 36 in the last full paragraph starting, "The Subcommittee finds persuasive." The second line, it says, "Not just proves," I don't know if anybody is with me, but it should be "requiring that the Government prove not just that the accused engaged in, but also that." So, it's just

1	the wrong place for the "not just" to be.
2	CHAIR JONES: Remove the "not just."
3	HON. HOLTZMAN: Move "not just" in
4	other words, yes
5	CHAIR JONES: I got it. Yes.
6	LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am.
7	HON. HOLTZMAN: Okay, that's it.
8	CHAIR JONES: Yes, that's better. Okay.
9	And we're going to add that paragraph on 37, but
10	we're going to Glen, we're going to say two
11	members of the Subcommittee concluded.
12	LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am. I'll fix
13	that.
14	CHAIR JONES: It will read as it reads.
15	LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am.
16	CHAIR JONES: Great. Okay. Now, at page
17	38 we have we're back to indecent act. Okay.
18	We need a conclusion, we need a recommendation,
	we need a concrusion, we need a recommendation,
19	or we need to say we can't do it. Why don't
19 20	
	or we need to say we can't do it. Why don't

off again and tell me now, the President has 1 2 recommended that indecent act go back into the 3 UCMJ? 4 LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am. So, the 5 offense that's winding its way through the process that would appear back in the code would 6 be under Article 134. It would be called 7 "indecent conduct," but it's essentially a 8 9 regurgitation of the old indecent act statute 10 that existed prior to 2007. 11 CHAIR JONES: Right. What's the 12 possible penalty for that, for 134? 13 LTCOL HINES: The max penalty is five 14 years and a dishonorable discharge. 15 CHAIR JONES: Okay. 16 LTCOL HINES: And when will that be 17 promulgated? Hopefully soon, but it's sort of 18 speculative for us to try to determine when 19 that's going to hit the Manual for Courts-20 Martial.

say was the consensus of all the presenters about

CHAIR JONES: Right. And what would you

21

this, whether we should be for it or against this?

LTCOL HINES: I think the consensus of the majority of the people you heard from was that it should go back. The rationale for wanting it was, you know, that we used to have it. We don't have that tool to prosecute indecent acts or indecent conduct any more. Some of the discussion from people who've been concerned about it, Professor Schulhofer and some others, was that -- and I think Ms. Wine-Banks, I don't want to speak for her, but I think she was concerned about maybe some over-breadth problems. Professor Schulhofer's concern is, you know, criminalizing --

CHAIR JONES: Consenting adults.

LTCOL HINES: Right, right. The other side of that from the military practitioners is that, you know, those other interests in the military of preserving good order and discipline requires, you know, an offense such as this that can be used in cases where some indecent conduct

or indecent acts are found to be either service 1 2 discrediting or prejudicial to good order and discipline. And that's --3 4 CHAIR JONES: Can I just ask you this? 5 What was the article that indecent acts was prosecuted under before? 6 LTCOL HINES: It was also Article 134, 7 Judge. 8 9 CHAIR JONES: Okay. So, we're just 10 putting it back where it used to be? 11 LTCOL HINES: Right. 12 CHAIR JONES: What did I see is 13 prosecutable, if you want to call it that, but 14 only has four months as its confinement term? 15 LTCOL HINES: So, I think Mr. Sullivan 16 from the General Counsel's office explained to 17 you at some prior meetings that if indecent 18 conduct -- what is found to be indecent conduct 19 or acts right now are committed by a 20 Servicemember, the only way to charge that is 21 under Article 134, what we call the General

Article, which is, you know, you basically say

what the conduct is, and then you say that it was indecent, and that it was either service discrediting or prejudicial to good order and discipline. And if you get a conviction on that, then the maximum punishment if it's service discrediting is four months, no punitive discharge and any other lawful punishment.

CHAIR JONES: So, it was in 134 and the President -- but the old one, the way it was prosecuted in the old 134 is different than what the President is suggesting it go back in as. Is there some difference between an enumerated offense and what it was when it was just four months confinement? Maybe I'm just -- I'm trying to get the lingo straight here.

LTCOL HINES: Right. So, an enumerated offense would be something that appears under Article 134 in the Manual for Courts-Martial, and there's a litany of those.

CHAIR JONES: So, does the President recommend indecent acts go in there now as an enumerated offense?

MR. MARSH: Ma'am, this is Kirt Marsh.

If I could just jump in for a little bit. So, I

think there's --

CHAIR JONES: I'm sorry. I'm just trying to get a handle on all this, because I think my confusion is that I just don't know what I'm talking about.

MR. MARSH: Yes, ma'am. It's a confusing term, and we ran into this into MJRG a lot. So, enumerated means one of the numbered statutory offenses in the UCMJ. And the only way that can get into the statute, into the UCMJ, is by an act of Congress, so that's statutory. Specified offenses out of 134 are something different. So, what the President is proposing right now under 134 and the offense that you saw is a specified offense under 134. It is not enumerated. And so I don't think right now anyone

CHAIR JONES: Okay. So, there's only one number, 134, and among the specified offenses will be indecent act.

MR. MARSH: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIR JONES: Is that right? Okay. And that's a five-year -- and this would make it a five-year crime potential. And does it or does it not require the prejudice to good order and discipline?

MR. MARSH: It does. All 134 does require that, what's called the terminal element.

CHAIR JONES: Got it. Okay.

MS. WINE-BANKS: I think I remember that someone wanted it in 120 so that it would not have to have the additional proof of prejudicial to good order. And I think there were several of us who were worried that by making it a sex crime under 120, that it would make it over-broad, and could lead to inclusion of things that we would not otherwise define as rape or sexual contact.

LTCOL HINES: That's correct, ma'am.

CHAIR JONES: I couldn't agree more -and maybe we have a consensus on this, but I
wouldn't want to see this activity, however we

define it, but certainly it's not -- I don't 1 2 believe it's intended to cover rape or sexual assault. I would not want to see it in 120. 3 4 What is 120(c), because I hear 5 reference to that, remainder of indecent acts that should be criminalized and is not covered by 6 7 Article -- is 120(c) going to -- isn't indecent acts covered under 120(c)? I just don't know what 8 9 120(c) is. 10 MR. MARSH: Ma'am, this is Kirt Marsh again. Historically, part of what was covered 11 12 under indecent acts was indecent exposure. That 13 is specified in 120(c). 14 CHAIR JONES: Right. 15 MR. MARSH: So, there is a statutory 16 provision that addresses it. 17 CHAIR JONES: Okay, it's still there. 18 MR. MARSH: It is, ma'am, indecent 19 exposure. 20 CHAIR JONES: It's still there. 21 MR. MARSH: But other things that might 22 fall outside of that conduct that could still be

considered indecent aren't covered anywhere right 1 2 now. 3 CHAIR JONES: Right. MR. MARSH: And would be covered by 4 5 this offense. CHAIR JONES: Okay. And, certainly, 6 120(c) doesn't have a 30-year penalty. Right? 7 MR. MARSH: I don't know. I'd have to 8 9 get my book. I can grab my book, but I don't 10 think so. 11 CHAIR JONES: Okay. 12 BG SCHWENK: This is Jim. 13 CHAIR JONES: Is there a sex registry? 14 Yes. Sorry, Jim, go ahead. 15 BG SCHWENK: I was going to say that I 16 think we maybe can start getting around a 17 consensus that this question when it uses the 18 word "enumerated" from the JPP means should it be 19 specifically listed as a separate offense under 20 the UCMJ. Then I think we all agree the answer is 21 probably no, having read everything. Should there

be an offense under 134 specified by the

President, I think even Stephen would say yes, because that gives the protection Jill just mentioned that you can't get a criminal offense unless the conduct is prejudicial to good order and discipline, or service discrediting.

So, it gives you the protection that thing can't -- you know, the action can't be over broadly prosecuted. So, I would say, you know, maybe we should think about saying no as an enumerated offense under UCMJ, that is a separately listed offense under the UCMJ. Yes, that there should be such an offense under 134 that is specified by the President, which is what the pending Executive Order would do.

and I think our rationale can be something fairly simple like the Department of Defense thinks that 134 offense is sufficient and has so proposed. DoD operated for more than 50 years with the 134 offense from 51 through 2007 without any problems; that as a 134 offense the scope of indecent acts or conduct is appropriately limited by those two service

discrediting and conduct prejudicial stuff. And just leave it at that, not go into discussing the specifics of the DoD proposal, because then we'll get wrapped around the axle in details. We'll let -- if we do that, we'll have answered the question we were asked, should it be enumerated specifically in the UCMJ with a no, but we said yes, but yes to having one under -- having an offense under 134. Just my thought of maybe a consensus position.

MS. WINE-BANKS: Can I ask one question on that? Is the only place it could be enumerated 120, because I think what our consensus is, is that it shouldn't be in 120. But I would view it being enumerated in 134, so the answer wouldn't be no, it shouldn't be enumerated. It's just that it shouldn't be enumerated in 120.

BG SCHWENK: I think enumerated is a loaded term. I don't know that anybody really understands what it means. I think it should be - if it's a 134 offense, Article 134 in the Uniform Code of Military Justice is a very broad

general statement with nothing specific under it. 1 2 And all the specifics under it are done by the President in the Manual for Courts-Martial, and 3 that's where all the specific offenses under 134 4 5 are listed, what the offense is, what the elements are, what the maximum punishment is, and 6 all that. The President does all that under 134. 7 MS. WINE-BANKS: So, that's not 8 considered enumeration if it's listed 9 10 specifically under 134? 11 BG SCHWENK: I don't know what they 12 meant -- because they said enumerated under the -13 - to be added to the -- this is what the JPP 14 said. Added to the UCMJ as an enumerated offense. 15 Well, that enumerated listed, separate, like you 16 said, Jill, a separate offense somewhere in the 17 Uniform Code itself, as opposed to listed by the 18 President --19 CHAIR JONES: Like 135 or something. 20 BG SCHWENK: Right, or whatever. You know, 120(d) or (e), or something, or whatever. 21 22 CHAIR JONES: Yes.

BG SCHWENK: But the DoD has said, no, let's go back to the way it used to be. Put it under 134, let the President specify it and put all the rules about it in there. And so I'm saying maybe that's what we should say, no, in the UCMJ, yes with the President under 134, and have some rationale and that would have answered your question, and it avoids having to get into do we like the DoD proposal or not, you know? And have fun with that.

MS. KEPROS: This is Laurie. I agree with the no in 120. I don't know if I agree with the yes in 134. And, apparently, I think I am suffering from some of the same confusion as the other civilians on our Subcommittee, which is that I don't understand why it's not already prosecutable under 134, if it is service discrediting. And I am also concerned that in the cases, the examples we were sent, you know, this can include something like people engaging in sexual behavior with a third person in the room. This was normative behavior.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And so I guess I'm worried about anything being enumerated, even within 134, even if the military says that it is not a registerable sex offense, that when people travel among United States, the state will -- the agency for the states, because I see police do this, we ask for the word indecent, and it will become a de facto registerable sex crime, you know, from one state to the next, or not. And it's just putting sort of lot of baggage on behaviors that I think are ways to get at. So, I guess that's the concern I would advance, but it might be an ignorance because I don't really understand whether this could already be done as a nonenumerated provision of 134.

BG SCHWENK: This is Jim, again. Under 134, the President lists specific offenses and puts all the rules for them, you know, what the elements of the offense are, maximum punishment, et cetera.

CHAIR JONES: And there's no indecent act there now. Right? Indecent act is out of

there now.

BG SCHWENK: Right. Indecent acts is not listed by the President currently.

CHAIR JONES: Okay.

BG SCHWENK: DoD has proposed that the President list it. There is a possibility under the law, which is not -- I guess maybe frowned upon. It is frowned upon by the courts where Commands and lawyers make up their own 134 offense, even though the President hasn't specified one, they have a fact situation so unique and so special, they make one. And it's possible the courts could uphold such an offense, but it's also possible that they're going to say forget it, you lose, and overturn any conviction that might have resulted from doing that.

So, the answer from DoD has always been let's get it in the Manual for Courts-Martial published and approved by the President as a specified 134 offense. And so that's what they're proposing to do with indecent acts, just like they did from 51 until 2007.

Now, what the states do with that, and 1 2 whether they look beyond that, and how they do -you know, each of the 50 states, how they do 3 4 their sex registration, I don't know. HON. HOLTZMAN: This is Liz Holtzman. 5 I'm going to have to leave in about five minutes 6 7 or so, just letting you know. CHAIR JONES: Well, Liz, do you agree 8 9 with what I'm hearing I think from three or four 10 other people that we definitely don't think it 11 should go in 120? 12 HON. HOLTZMAN: Right. 13 CHAIR JONES: That part's easy? 14 HON. HOLTZMAN: Right. 15 MS. WINE-BANKS: Rereading Paragraph 1 16 has helped clarify this for me a little bit, which is that 2007, added indecent acts to 120 17 18 instead of in 134. In 2012, it was eliminated 19 from 120 but wasn't re-added to 134. So, now it 20 is not an offense at all. 21 CHAIR JONES: Right. 22 MS. WINE-BANKS: At least not

enumerated. So, the question is really why did it
go into 120 to begin with instead of 134? And
that was to avoid having to prove the additional
elements. And then when it got taken away, it
didn't get re-added to the UCMJ in 134. So, I
think I'm back to the same conclusion I had
before, which is I don't want it in 120, and see
no problem with putting it back in 134 with the
additional elements, although I worry I still
do have a slight concern that the definition of
indecent act could be overly broad in its
interpretation and include things that might not
otherwise be considered dangerous. But if they
are service discrediting, or disruptive of the
order, then I think okay, that's within the
military context, it's fine. So, I'm still at no
it shouldn't be in 120, but yes it should be
somewhere in UCMJ. And that we shouldn't comment
on this proposal
CHAIR JONES: As specified by the

CHAIR JONES: As specified by the President.

MS. WINE-BANKS: Yes.

CHAIR JONES: And we shouldn't do what, comment?

MS. WINE-BANKS: And that we don't need to comment on something outside the purview of 120. We said no to 120, and to the extent that there's a proposal pending for 134, whoever is commenting on 134 should comment on it. Unless we wanted to something about -- you know, if we knew why it was taken out in 2012, maybe we could comment. But I don't know -- and do we know the reason why it was taken out in 2012?

LTCOL HINES: No, ma'am.

MS. WINE-BANKS: So, I mean, I think that leaves us without enough information to make an intelligent comment on its inclusion in 134.

CHAIR JONES: Yes.

LTCOL HINES: You know, this is Glen,
Judge. I think if I could capture what Brigadier
General Schwenk was saying, and if this is the
direction everyone's heading, it sounds like
there's a consensus that in answering this
question you can say, you know, we do not

recommend that an enumerated offense under 120, you know, be adopted for indecent acts or conduct. The second part of that is we're aware that the President has promulgated a new offense under 134. You know, I could build out the report to say that, you know, the Committee is well aware of this and has looked at it, but you don't have to -- I don't think you really have to speak one way or the other one 134 to answer the question under number 11. If you're saying we don't recommend that this go under 120.

MS. WINE-BANKS: And that we take no position on the pending proposal for 134.

LTCOL HINES: Correct.

CHAIR JONES: Right. I guess --

LTCOL HINES: And then anyone who has concerns, like any of the other issues, you know, I know Professor Schulhofer's concerned about even the pending Executive Order, and anyone else who's concerned with over-breadth even under that can submit, you know, their own comments if they would like. But the Subcommittee as a whole can

remain silent on taking a position. 1 2 MS. WINE-BANKS: Laurie, are you going to be writing a comment on that? 3 MS. KEPROS: I think I will. Just to 4 5 outline the concerns, I don't know that I'm even going to take a position on 134 because I just 6 don't know that I'm well informed. I just think 7 it would be good to say here are some of the 8 9 areas of concern that we hope, you know, other 10 people bring up. 11 CHAIR JONES: I like that. I think 12 that's good. And if we can -- maybe reading the 13 question was the smartest thing we did this 14 morning. Kudos to whoever came up with that, and 15 certainly thank you for the explanations, Kirt and General Schwenk. 16 17 Alright. So, you're going to write 18 something for us, Glen. 19 LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am. I'll build 20 out Sub-C and Sub-D, and I'll include that in the 21 report that I'm going to route to everyone. 22 CHAIR JONES: Okay. So, our conclusion

is don't put it in, don't put it in 120, and 1 2 we're not recommending anything with respect to the President's proposal on anything else. 3 4 LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am. CHAIR JONES: We take no position. 5 Okay, great. And, Laurie, you're going to write 6 7 something, and I know that -- you've seen and know what Professor Schulhofer's thoughts are on 8 9 this. 10 Okay. Do I still have a few people for 11 the last 12 pages? I think we can move this 12 pretty quickly. 13 MS. WINE-BANKS: I'm here for a few 14 more minutes. 15 CHAIR JONES: Okay. 16 MS. WINE-BANKS: For about 10 at the 17 most. 18 CHAIR JONES: Okay. 12 is -- well, 19 actually, this is interesting. The current 20 practice is charging inappropriate relationship or maltreatment under Articles of UCMJ other than 21 22 Article 120. Is it appropriate and effective when

1	sexual conduct is involved? And our ultimate
2	conclusion was charging it that way is
3	appropriate and effective when consensual sexual
4	conduct is involved. Is this where we changed it
5	to can be when sexual conduct is involved?
6	BG SCHWENK: Yes.
7	CHAIR JONES: So that change has to
8	get made on page 41.
9	MS. WINE-BANKS: Yes.
LO	CHAIR JONES: And otherwise, I think
L1	the other suggested edits look fine.
L2	LTCOL HINES: Okay.
L3	CHAIR JONES: Are we all good on 40 and
L4	41 then?
L5	MS. WINE-BANKS: Yes.
L6	CHAIR JONES: Okay, great. 42, this is
L7	the question of whether the current version of
L8	120 gives prosecutors the ability to effectively
L9	charge coercive sexual relationships. And here we
20	recommended, and Ino change
21	MS. WINE-BANKS: This where we
22	recommended a new crime.

	CHAIR JONES: RIGHT. YES, OH THIS OHE
2	exactly we recommended a new subsection. That's
3	right. No change to (b)(1)(a), but we did
4	recommend later we recommend on Issue 15 a new
5	subsection. Okay, and problems with 42 and 43? A
6	few minor edits that I see look fine. Okay, page
7	44. This is one where we recommended no change.
8	It talks about threatening or placing another
9	person that other person in fear. And there
10	are not there are just a couple of little nits
11	on page 45, changes which look fine.
12	MS. KEPROS: Do you want to put current
13	in the box just so it keeps it straight with the
14	other
15	CHAIR JONES: Put what
16	MS. KEPROS: The word "current" in the
17	box, the current
18	CHAIR JONES: Oh, I think we're going
19	to yes, I guess we'll do that throughout.
20	LTCOL HINES: Yes.
21	CHAIR JONES: Okay, Glen?
22	LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIR JONES: Thank you. Alright, 46.

This is where we do add a new provision to

address coercive sexual relationships or those
involving abuse of authority. And our

recommendation, which we had long discussions

about and voted on at the bottom of page 46 into

47.

I don't see any comments having been sent in on this, or any changes suggested. Oh, wait, I apologize. I think I like this. In 1(e) at the very bottom of page 46, under sexual assault, and person subject to this chapter who (1) commits a sexual act on another person, and then in (e) by using position, rank, or authority to secure compliance, as opposed to compel compliance.

MS. WINE-BANKS: I like that language.

I'm wondering if Lisa, who's had a long

discussion about the difference between compel

and coerce is happy with that. Does that -- Glen,

do you know if that was okay with her?

LTCOL HINES: I think so, ma'am. This

is also where General Schwenk submitted a comment. I tried to route it to everyone, but he suggested changing compel to coerce for consistency sake because we use coerce and coercion in some other places. So, I don't know if -- and I think he felt that compel was maybe a little too narrow for the Government, that coerce would cover --

CHAIR JONES: Are you saying coerce or secure, which is what I see on the draft?

BG SCHWENK: Secure is fine. This is

Jim again. Secure is fine. Yes, if we go with

secure we might want to change some of the

writing in our conclusion to make it says, you

know, let's -- we have coercive all the way

through there. Just need to say -- address coerce

or, you know, put secure in there, too, like in

the last sentence under C.

MS. WINE-BANKS: Yes. I really like the word "secure," and avoiding the discussion of compel and coerce.

BG SCHWENK: Yes.

MS. WINE-BANKS: I thought it was a 1 2 brilliant -- a very, very excellent change. BG SCHWENK: That works for me. 3 LTCOL HINES: Okay. So, the easy fix is 4 5 6 CHAIR JONES: I like it, too. 7 LTCOL HINES: -- just replace compel with secure in the red line, and in the report. 8 9 And I'll fix that. 10 CHAIR JONES: And then everyone will know what we're doing. Great. It's neutral, which 11 is what we need it to be. 12 13 MS. WINE-BANKS: Yes, exactly. 14 CHAIR JONES: It means the same as 15 obtain, so it seems good to me. Okay. Page 48, 16 this is the one about basic training instructors. 17 And, essentially, we reached a conclusion that we 18 didn't recommend that any of this -- that this be 19 treated either as a strict liability crime or 20 illegal per se under Article 120. That was 21 totally non-controversial, as I recall, in our 22 discussions, and I don't see any comments, nor

proposed edits. Any other discussion on that needed? Okay.

Page 52, our last page, Issue 17.

Okay. This was also not controversial, the question related to whether coercive sexual relationships currently charged under other

Articles of the UCMJ than 120 should be added to DoD's list of offenses that trigger sex offender registration, and I believe it was virtually unanimous that that should not be done. So, our recommendation is no, it should not be added. I don't see any other comments or edits.

I think we're finished the report, and let me just ask whether there's -- take a breath, everybody. Are there any other issues that come to mind, or questions, or -- I'm sure there'll be some more discussion about what to do about indecent act, but why don't we see what we get back from Glen. Anything else on anyone's mind?

Okay. Glen, I can't thank you enough.

And, Kirt, thanks for your very helpful
intervention here.

MR. MARSH: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIR JONES: Do you need anything more from me, or do you want to say anything else about what we're up to, or shall we just close the meeting? Glen?

issue I would like to pin down, Judge, is how -if anyone's going to submit comments that they
want actually reflected in the Subcommittee
report -- well, I guess that's the first
question. Do you want to allow for people to do
that, or would you rather those dissenting
viewpoints be submitted to the JPP as a whole?

The reason I ask the question is if someone wants it reflected in the report, we'd probably need those no later than Friday so that we can get it to Ms. Faulk and have time, you know, to print everything before next Friday. So, we'll do whatever you want us to do.

CHAIR JONES: Well, right now I think
we have a real piece by Laurie, analysis by
Laurie which dissents from some of our

recommendations and our analysis. Laurie is going 1 2 to do that as soon as -- it's probably already done. Right, Laurie? 3 4 MS. KEPROS: It's well under way, but 5 exactly. CHAIR JONES: Okay. So, that's one 6 issue down. Laurie will get that in, I assume --7 MS. KEPROS: By Friday. 8 9 LTCOL HINES: Okay. 10 CHAIR JONES: So, is Friday quick 11 enough for you? 12 LTCOL HINES: That's fine, Judge. Thank 13 you. 14 CHAIR JONES: Oh, that's great. Okay, 15 good. 16 And now the other -- we've also listed 17 where Laurie and Professor Schulhofer, you know, 18 would rather see the mens rea a little stiffer 19 for the accused. I don't know what other dissents 20 you're thinking about, or that we've heard might 21 be coming down the road. You're saying future --22 if people have some additional dissents?

1	LTCOL HINES: Well, I just you hit
2	it, Judge, that. And then I don't know, I just
3	haven't had a chance to directly pin down
4	Professor Schulhofer on whether he wants to
5	actually, you know, have his viewpoints reflected
6	in the report, or whether he's going to do it
7	if he even feels that strongly about it, if he
8	wants them reflected in the report, or otherwise.
9	So, I'll ask him what he
10	CHAIR JONES: Certainly have the
11	conversation with him, but my recollection or my
12	sense of it is, he's happy not to do a separate
13	dissent, but he did feel that it would he
14	wanted that comment in on mens rea.
15	LTCOL HINES: Yes, ma'am.
16	CHAIR JONES: But whatever he wants,
17	let's try to accommodate it.
18	LTCOL HINES: Okay.
19	CHAIR JONES: And if he wants to do a
20	separate dissent, that's great, that's fine. And
21	try to get it from him on Friday.
22	LTCOL HINES: Okay. Thanks, Judge.

1	That's all I have.
2	CHAIR JONES: Great. Okay. Terrific.
3	Bill, are you there to close the meeting?
4	MR. SPRANCE: Yes, ma'am, I am. The
5	meeting is closed.
6	CHAIR JONES: Alright. Thanks a lot.
7	Bye-bye.
8	(Whereupon, the meeting in the above-
9	entitled matter was concluded at 12:20 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

	İ
	agreed 10:8 37:3
<b>a.m</b> 1:10 2:2	ahead 2:15 5:3 7
	14:20 19:11 20
ability 2:10 11:11 12:5	71:14
24:13 36:2,3,5,14,17	Air 1:16
36:20 37:1 39:5,11	alert 50:6
40:7,21 41:6,9,15	
42:4 44:4,5,13,15	aligning 40:19
45:11,14,15 84:18	alleges 53:22
able 14:8 20:11 42:8	<b>allow</b> 90:11
absolutely 27:12	alluded 17:3
abuse 86:4	ally 62:12
abusive 13:11	Alright 4:4 6:1 16
	17:14,18 21:12
accommodate 92:17	27:22 30:4 31:1
accurate 34:15	32:18 34:17 52
accused 19:18 20:3,22	54:19 57:9 58:1
22:5 62:22 91:19	
accused's 51:11 58:22	82:17 86:1 93:6
act 2:10 39:2 53:22	ambiguous 22:2
58:12 63:17 64:2,9	amend 5:1
68:13,22 76:22,22	amending 4:22
79:11 86:13 89:18	amendment 15:1
action 56:5 72:7	amendments 3:4
activity 25:1 69:22	amount 30:16,16
	<b>analysis</b> 90:21 9
acts 65:7 66:1,5,19	Anderson 48:9
67:21 70:5,8,12 72:21	ands 40:3
77:2,21 78:17 81:2	answer 10:4 23:1
actual 21:4,10 60:15	25:7 38:1 71:20
add 12:2,8,20 13:3	
14:12,17 18:9,10,15	77:17 81:9
29:8 33:21 52:6 62:4	answered 9:9 73
62:4 63:9 86:2	answering 25:7 8
added 2:17 5:8 6:22	<b>anti</b> 37:18
18:22 74:13,14 78:17	anybody 26:5 32
89:7,11	43:7 46:15 50:3
addition 34:19 58:16	52:12 58:5 62:2
additional 11:2 16:12	73:19
31:17 34:11,21 47:5	anyone's 89:19 9
	anyway 38:11 54
56:21 58:5,19 69:12	62:10
79:3,9 91:22	aphasic 42:8
address 86:3 87:16	apologize 86:10
addressed 6:5 25:17	apologize 00.10
addresses 10:17 70:16	apparently 75:13
addressing 21:5 27:7	appear 11:5,10 6
60:13	appears 7:14 67:
adequate 24:3	appellate 12:12,1
administration 51:11	appreciate 36:14
adopted 81:2	44:13
adults 65:16	apprehension 40
advance 76:12	apprised 8:2
	appropriate 24:1
advantage 47:4	27:2,10 55:14 8
advocate 43:10	84:3
agency 76:5	appropriately 23
agenda 47:18	
agnostic 17:17	72:22
agree 6:2 9:2,14 12:22	approved 4:5 77:
16:5 18:16 25:5 27:2	area 20:1 58:14
36:6 69:20 71:20	areas 82:9
75:11,12 78:8	argument 46:14
•	

I

reed 10:8 37:3 ead 2:15 5:3 7:18 14:20 19:11 20:20 71:14 r 1:16 ert 50:6 gning 40:19 eges 53:22 ow 90:11 uded 17:3 **y** 62:12 right 4:4 6:1 16:2 7:14,18 21:12 23:8 27:22 30:4 31:14 32:18 34:17 52:4 54:19 57:9 58:10 32:17 86:1 93:6 nbiguous 22:21 nend 5:1 nending 4:22 nendment 15:15 nendments 3:4,8 34:2 ount 30:16,16 alysis 90:21 91:1 derson 48:9 ds 40:3 swer 10:4 23:13.22 25:7 38:1 71:20 73:15 77:17 81:9 swered 9:9 73:5 75:7 swering 25:7 80:21 **ti** 37:18 ybody 26:5 32:21 13:7 46:15 50:3 51:6 52:12 58:5 62:20 73:19 yone's 89:19 90:8 yway 38:11 54:4 55:5 32:10 **hasic** 42:8 **ologize** 86:10 parently 75:13 pear 11:5,10 64:6 pears 7:14 67:17 pellate 12:12,14 preciate 36:14,21 14:13 prehension 40:20 prised 8:2 propriate 24:1 26:20 27:2,10 55:14 83:22 34:3 propriately 23:19 72:22 **proved** 4:5 77:19 **a** 20:1 58:14 eas 82:9

arises 7:22 **Army** 1:19 **array** 24:7 article 3:5,8 5:10 15:7 15:11 20:6,8 22:20 24:10 27:8 28:21 29:8 51:21,22 59:4 64:7 66:5,7,21,22 67:18 70:7 73:21 83:22 88:20 **Articles** 83:21 89:7 **aside** 38:11 asked 73:6 **asking** 50:13 assault 13:8,9 15:9 70:3 86:12 **assume** 91:7 **assuming** 48:21 49:19 50:1 51:1 attach 3:18 attached 3:19 **Attorney** 1:18,20 **authority** 86:4,14 available 9:14 avoid 37:19,20 38:5 79:3 avoiding 87:20 avoids 44:19,21 75:8 aware 40:11 81:3,7 **axle** 73:4

В **b** 31:9 57:17 85:3 back 9:17 26:2 31:21 34:3,5 40:3 48:3,17 49:2,19 51:17 58:5 61:8,9 63:17 64:2,6 65:5 66:10 67:11 75:2 79:6,8 89:19 backward 47:21 **bad** 3:7 17:13 **baggage** 76:10 bar 45:3 **Barbara** 1:10,11 4:7 11:15 based 2:8 **basic** 88:16 **basically** 20:9 26:9 30:15,22 35:1 66:22 beginning 29:20 58:11 behavior 24:19 75:21 75:22 behaviors 76:10 believe 70:2 89:9 belongs 16:17 17:16,16 53:20 Benchbook 8:5 better 43:1 63:8

beyond 25:15 78:2 **BG** 35:13,20 36:19 37:6 44:18 54:10,15 71:12 71:15 73:18 74:11,20 75:1 76:16 77:2,5 84:6 87:11,22 88:3 **BG(R)** 1:14 **big** 43:10 biggest 39:20 **Bill** 93:3 bind 49:17 bit 14:6 19:10 49:16 68:2 78:16 **blue** 53:6 **bodily** 16:6,14,18,20,22 17:1,4 18:11 52:5 53:3,22 54:16 55:21 book 71:9.9 bother 28:6 **bottom** 19:1 86:6,11 **box** 29:6,7 85:13,17 brain 41:2,14 42:10 breaking 19:10 **breath** 89:14 Brigadier 80:18 brilliant 88:2 bring 21:22 82:10 bringing 44:22 brings 44:22 **broad** 44:3 58:13 73:22 79:11 broader 8:9 13:17 20:10 44:1 45:2 **broadly** 9:18 72:8 brought 52:7 **bubble** 3:18 20:4 34:3 **build** 81:5 82:19 **buy** 46:13 Bye 61:22 **Bye-bye** 62:1 93:7

C C 33:22 87:18 call 26:7 45:19 61:8 66:13,21 called 41:20 64:7 69:8 calls 37:13 capacity 59:1 capture 20:11 80:18 **captured** 34:5,6 care 12:17 16:11 53:9 case 32:14 35:2,2,4 cases 31:6 53:21 54:9 55:4 65:22 75:19 caveat 26:3 **center** 42:11 certainly 25:1 38:18 44:3 59:20 70:1 71:6

Ī.
82:15 92:10
cetera 76:20
Chair 1:10,11 2:5,21 3:7
3:11,22 4:3,8,16 5:7
5:13 6:1,6,14,17 7:2,6
7:9,18 8:11 9:5,7 10:4
10.11 14 16 20 11.1 9
10:11,14,16,20 11:1,8 11:13,22 12:8,19,22
13:7,11,22 14:9,14,19
15:17 16:1,10,16 17:6
17:12,18 18:14 19:11
20:16 21:6,12,21
22:11 23:4 11 26:5 19
22:11 23:4,11 26:5,19 27:9,14,17,20,22 28:4
28:8,19 29:2,5,12,16
29:18,21 30:2,4,21
31:3,7,12,19 32:12,17
32:20 33:3,6,16,21
34:8 35:1,9,12,19
36:12,17 37:3,16 38:3
39:17 41:4 42:22 43:5
39:17 41:4 42:22 43:5 43:11,16 44:10,16
46:3,8,15,19 47:11,20
48:13,16,20 49:13,18
50:17 51:1,18 52:1,4
52:9 53:8 54:20 55:2
55:6,9,15 56:2,11,15
57:9,17,20 58:8 59:12
59:17 60:8,16,18 61:4
61:10,19,21 62:1,9,11
63:2,5,8,14,16 64:11
64:15,21 65:16 66:4,9
66:12 67:8,20 68:4,20
69:2,9,20 70:14,17,20
71:3,6,11,13 74:19,22
76:21 77:4 78:8,13,21 79:20 80:1,16 81:15
82:11,22 83:5,15,18
84:7,10,13,16 85:1,15
85:18,21 86:1 87:9
88:6,10,14 90:2,20
91:6,10,14 92:10,16
92:19 93:2,6
chance 92:3
change 8:4,6,13,15
9:17 10:12 14:16
15:15 19:1 25:16 33:8
33:13 35:7 44:6 45:13
55:15 56:7,18 58:3,15
59:4,4 84:7,20 85:3,7
87:13 88:2
<b>changed</b> 7:13 13:6
36:21 43:13 61:17
84:4
<b>changes</b> 4:18 5:16,20
7:10 22:22 29:1 30:13
46:17 50:7 51:3,8,14
85:11 86:9

```
changing 51:6 87:3
chapter 86:12
charge 66:20 84:19
charged 25:6 89:6
charging 83:20 84:2
choice 35:21
choices 45:13
circumstance 32:10
 42:20
circumstances 32:6,8
 32:14
civilians 75:15
clarified 10:1,7
clarifies 20:15
clarify 9:13 78:16
clarifying 20:17
clean 33:1,7 45:9
clear 5:18 8:14 22:9
 25:19 61:5
clearer 11:4 28:21 57:7
clearing 11:17
close 90:4 93:3
closed 93:5
code 64:6 73:22 74:17
coerce 86:20 87:3,4,7,9
 87:16.21
coercion 87:5
coercive 84:19 86:3
 87:15 89:5
cognition 41:20 42:2,5
 42:17,19
cognitive 2:9 11:11
 35:14,16,18 36:2,10
 36:14,20 37:2,4,5,10
 37:11,15 38:15,17,17
 39:1,9 40:7,16 41:5,8
 43:7,11,22 44:7,9,10
 44:20 45:1,11 46:13
 51:6
coherent 57:13
COL(R) 1:13,13
Colonel 1:16,17,19
combining 40:19
come 14:6 17:20 34:5
 37:11 38:8 52:11
 89:15
coming 39:12 91:21
Commands 77:9
comment 3:18 7:12
  13:14 20:4 31:22 34:3
 59:8 79:18 80:2,4,7
 80:10,15 82:3 87:2
 92:14
commenting 80:7
comments 7:9 16:12
 21:5 22:6 24:13,22
```

```
56:16 58:6,15,20
  81:21 86:8 88:22
  89:12 90:8
commits 86:13
committed 17:1 66:19
Committee 61:1 81:6
communicate 39:6,12
  40:8 41:1,2,6 42:4
communicating 40:22
compel 86:15,19 87:3,6
  87:21 88:7
compliance 86:15,16
concept 17:14
concepts 52:7 53:7
concern 8:15 9:18
  10:17 20:4,7,15 45:10
  65:14 76:12 79:10
  82:9
concerned 19:16 20:18
  42:19 43:14 65:9,13
  75:18 81:18,20
concerning 51:10
concerns 19:6 39:20
  56:22 58:5 81:17 82:5
concluded 63:11 93:9
conclusion 46:7 63:18
  79:6 82:22 84:2 87:14
  88.17
conclusions 29:15
  52:22
concur 46:9
conduct 14:11 20:11
  23:15 24:1,17 26:13
  26:17,22 27:11,13
  30:18 36:15 40:9
  44:14 64:8 65:8,22
  66:18,18 67:1 70:22
  72:4,21 73:1 81:3
  84:1,4,5
conference 50:9,10
confinement 66:14
  67:14
conflated 45:22
conflating 60:19
confused 28:22
confusing 4:15,20 5:15
  5:17 6:8,10 13:1
  15:11 18:11 45:21
  60:21 68:9
confusion 4:21 5:20,22
  5:22 16:20 68:6 75:14
Congress 19:22 20:20
  22:4,6,20 23:7 68:13
Congressional 22:10
connect 42:10
consensual 23:16,17
  23:21,22 24:6,17,21
  24:22 25:9,20,22 26:1
```

26:4,8,11,15 27:5
84:3
consensus 64:22 65:3
69:21 71:17 73:10,13
80:21
consent 30:16,17,19
32:1,3,4,5,7 54:1 59:1
consenting 11:8,9
34:18 65:16
considerations 58:1
considered 26:11 32:6
32:15 71:1 74:9 79:13
consistency 87:4
consistent 9:22 33:18
<b>constitute</b> 30:19 31:2,3
32:4
contact 13:11 53:22
58:12 69:18
content 58:18
context 79:16
controversial 51:13
89:4
conversation 8:21,22
46:6,22 92:11
convicted 19:19
<b>conviction</b> 67:4 77:15
<b>copies</b> 48:6 49:10
<b>copy</b> 48:8
<b>core</b> 60:21
<b>Corps</b> 1:18
correct 7:4 69:19 81:14
counsel 12:3,11 14:4
Counsel's 66:16
count 62:7
couple 18:2 85:10
course 47:2
court 35:15,22 36:2
45:4
courts 12:12,14 33:1
64:19 77:8,13,18
courts-martial 8:7 10:3
10:7 33:9 37:21 67:18
74:3
cover 26:4 40:10,13
70:2 87:8
covered 70:6,8,11 71:1
71:4
covering 60:3
covers 43:2
create 12:3,4 15:12
57:12
crime 23:18 24:11
25:19,21 69:4,15 76:8
84:22 88:19
crimes 24:9
criminal 13:21 20:11
72:3
criminalized 70:6

32:19 34:10,11,20

49:21 50:14 51:13

criminalizing 65:15 **cured** 4:21 curious 47:7 current 28:21 29:8,21 83:19 84:17 85:12,16 85:17 **currently** 77:3 89:6 **cut** 50:10

**D** 33:14 34:1 51:19,20 57:2,3 dancing 44:21 dangerous 39:1 79:13 day 49:21 days 46:21 de 76:8 deadline 47:9 deal 7:13 8:11 53:4 deals 39:2 Dean 1:13 9:2 26:6 27:1 27:12 48:9 61:7.11.15 61:20,22 **DECEMBER** 1:7 **decide** 18:4 56:6 decided 4:17 35:16 38:15 51:5 58:2 59:3 decision 33:18 39:6,12 40:8,13,15,21 41:6,14 41:15 52:21 60:12 **deeper** 22:14,16 defense 1:1 12:11 72:17 **defenses** 8:14 9:13,17 9:19 define 26:21 38:6 45:14 45:17 69:17 70:1 defined 56:18 defining 36:2 definitely 78:10 **definition** 2:9 11:5,10 13:15 19:2 25:11 32:2 37:11,14,18,20,20 38:22 44:9,22 45:10 45:15 46:7,14 51:5,10 52:5 56:5 57:22 79:10 **definitions** 5:17 15:10 58:11 deliberated 19:20 deliberations 19:17 delivering 48:11 **dense** 57:4 **Department** 1:1 72:16 **depend** 24:19 depth 17:22 **Deputy** 1:19

description 10:19 deserves 6:5 Designated 1:20,21 detail 20:5 details 73:4 determine 64:18 **determined** 5:9 15:7,14 22:19 determining 32:6 dial 61:8 dictionary 36:10 39:14 difference 5:2 36:8 37:12 44:20 67:12 86:19 different 26:9 35:21 36:4 38:8 40:5,17 67:10 68:15 difficult 17:7 18:15 direction 80:20 directly 92:3 **Director** 1:17,19 disability 46:12 disagrees 2:14 discharge 64:14 67:7 discipline 65:20 66:3 67:4 69:6 72:5 discrediting 66:2 67:3 67:6 72:5 73:1 75:18 79:14 discuss 2:13 4:4 30:12 38:2 discussing 73:2 discussion 21:18 23:16 25:20 34:21 43:6 51:15 56:3 65:9 86:19 87:20 89:1.17 discussions 17:4 86:5 88:22 dishonorable 64:14 disregard 21:17 disruptive 79:14 disseminate 48:7 dissent 22:2 59:6,7,11 59:18,19,21 92:13,20 **dissenting** 21:5 50:14 60:7,11 90:12 dissents 90:22 91:19 91:22 **distinction** 23:20 26:2 37:9 distinguish 12:12

disturbing 55:16

77:16 88:11

77:5,17

**DoD's** 89:8

**DoD** 72:18 73:3 75:1,9

doing 28:13 35:14 48:2

draft 50:16,18,19 87:10

drafted 45:18 drug 51:11 **dumped** 38:14 duplicate 49:5

e 74:21 86:14

Ε

earlier 47:9 52:7 59:2 early 48:20 49:20 easier 57:7 easiest 9:12 easy 18:14,16 78:13 88:4 edit 6:17 19:1 23:10,13 30:7 56:11 editing 30:6 56:8 edits 30:9 31:16,17 34:6,11,11 53:1 56:9 56:14,19,21 58:4,17 84:11 85:6 89:1,12 effective 4:14,21 5:15 15:8 24:2 25:8 26:21 27:2,10 83:22 84:3 **effectively** 54:1 84:18 **Eight** 57:22 either 3:20 26:18 32:10 36:13 40:10 45:13 58:3 66:1 67:2 88:19 **element** 54:2 69:8 **elements** 74:6 76:19 79:4.9 eliminated 5:21 78:18 Elizabeth 1:12 email 2:9 9:9 59:9 emailed 7:22 **emails** 58:19 **ended** 15:13 58:13 engaged 62:22 engaging 75:20 entire 17:14 entitled 93:9 **enumerated** 67:12,16 67:22 68:10,18 71:18 72:10 73:6,12,15,16 73:17,18 74:12,14,15 76:2,15 79:1 81:1 enumeration 74:9 especially 46:14 **essentially** 52:20 64:8 88:17 established 22:20 et 76:20 everybody 2:18 27:14 46:4 49:20 89:15 everyone's 80:20 exact 21:18 54:17

exactly 17:8 30:3 54:2

85:2 88:13 91:5

example 25:2 42:7 examples 75:19 excellent 88:2 excluding 9:21 **Executive** 52:10 53:13 72:14 81:19 existed 8:15 64:10 exists 29:11 expected 14:11 explain 19:14 20:5 **explained** 4:19 66:16 explanations 16:19 82:15 **exposure** 70:12,19 **express** 19:2 40:12 42:4 expressed 9:18 extent 80:5 **extra** 4:13 extremely 35:4

F fact 18:13 33:7,14 53:9 77:11 **facto** 76:8 factors 40:20 fair 23:6 62:13 **fairly** 72:16 fall 70:22 Faulk 48:5 90:17 fault 9:10 favored 43:19 fear 56:18 85:9 **February** 54:13 Federal 1:20,21 feel 92:13 feelings 47:5 feels 92:7 felt 4:19,19 5:19 87:6 **fiddle** 53:14 figure 18:18 52:16 final 8:21 49:8 finalize 48:1,2,15 finds 62:18 fine 2:17 4:5 5:1 7:6 11:11 19:2 30:7,10 32:18 55:22,22 56:12 56:13,20 60:4 61:1 79:16 84:11 85:6,11 87:11,12 91:12 92:20 finish 28:2 finished 89:13 **first** 4:10 7:12,21 11:3 12:9 18:2,18 19:9 53:12,17 54:8 55:20 90:10 five 64:13 78:6

described 9:1

describing 53:7

five-year 69:3,4

fix 10:15 26:14.17 27:21 33:22 63:12 88:4,9 **fixes** 34:6 fixing 5:22 focus 25:14 followed 15:17 fond 35:4 force 1:17 57:22 forcible 26:13 **forget** 77:15 form 3:8 former 6:20 formulate 40:14 41:17 **formulation** 31:5 36:22 39:9 found 59:9 66:1,18 four 66:14 67:6,13 78:9 fours 20:6 frame 49:4 framed 24:14 framework 15:8 frankly 49:22 Friday 47:19 48:7,12 90:16,18 91:8,10 92:21 Fried 1:20 2:3 front 9:11 18:9 39:15 frowned 77:7,8 full 30:7 54:8 55:20 62:17 fuller 10:19 **grab** 71:9 **fully** 25:7 fun 75:10 function 42:5 further 46:22 future 91:21 G

general 38:4 66:16,21 74:1 80:19 82:16 87:1 getting 51:5 60:9 71:16 give 28:5 40:4 41:18 61:15 62:12 gives 72:2,6 84:18 giving 16:20 48:10 Glen 1:17 2:11 6:13 7:22 9:5 10:12 12:19 14:2 15:1,21 17:20 27:18 28:6 31:13 33:16 37:21 39:19 40:18 45:6 46:16 47:16 48:16 49:11,19 50:2 51:8 52:13 57:10 63:10,21 80:17 82:18 85:21 86:20 89:19,20 90:5 global 53:3

**go** 2:11,14 5:3,15 7:18

9:16 14:20 16:4,18 17:22 18:1,17 19:11 20:20 22:17 25:12 30:5 31:20 33:14 38:20 51:17 56:6 58:4 64:2 65:5 67:11,21 71:14 73:2 75:2 78:11 79:2 81:11 87:12 goes 12:17,20 25:20 52:22 going 3:12 8:13,13 9:16

going 3:12 8:13,13 9:16 14:22 17:22 18:9 21:22 33:7,8,17 38:20 38:21 40:11 41:12,13 42:1 47:22 48:17 50:1 50:5,18 51:2 52:6 53:4,11 59:3,10,18 63:9,10,10 64:19 70:7 71:15 77:14 78:6 82:2 82:6,17,21 83:6 85:18 90:8 91:1 92:6

good 21:6 27:17,20 35:11 36:9 38:4 46:3 46:7 50:4 58:20 62:11 65:20 66:2 67:3 69:5 69:13 72:4 82:8,12 84:13 88:15 91:15 gosh 45:20

**Government** 20:1 62:21 87:7

grammatical 62:16 grammatically 7:1 great 2:5 6:14 10:14 11:1 16:10 17:21 23:2 27:1,16,22 28:8 32:20 34:8 46:15 53:12

56:22 63:16 83:6 84:16 88:11 91:14 92:20 93:2 **Green** 1:16

ground 43:2 group 12:13 group's 43:21 guess 18:22 38:12 45:12 76:1,11 77:7 81:15 85:19 90:6,10

guidance 13:20

Н

H 1:22 hand 26:12 handle 68:5 hands 49:8 happen 38:12 happy 86:20 92:12 hard 49:5 50:9 57:4 harm 16:6,14,18,20,22 17:1,4 18:11 52:5 53:3,22 54:16 55:21 heading 80:20 hear 19:13 21:8 50:3 60:8 70:4 heard 65:4 91:20 hearing 19:3 31:22 46:5 51:2 58:18 78:9 heck 45:1 held 21:1 help 2:22 55:4 helped 28:17 29:19 78:16 helpful 52:14 57:11 89:21

hesitate 47:1 high 21:16 **higher** 21:19 highlight 35:14 highlighted 3:17 **Hines** 1:17 3:10,16 4:2 9:4,6,8 10:10,13,15 11:7,12 12:21 13:3,10 14:5,10,18 15:3,22 19:9,13 22:13,16 23:3 27:19,21 28:7 31:11 33:20,22 37:22 39:16 39:18 45:5 46:18 47:16,21 48:14,19 49:12,14 52:3,8 54:14 57:16,19 62:14 63:6 63:12,15 64:4,13,16 65:3,17 66:7,11,15 67:16 69:19 80:12,17 81:14,16 82:19 83:4 84:12 85:20,22 86:22 88:4,7 90:6 91:9,12

92:1,15,18,22 **Historically** 70:11 **hit** 64:19 92:1 **Holtzman** 1:12 3:2 5:4 5:11 11:15 12:1,16 13:5 16:13,17 17:8,15 18:8 21:7,11 28:2,5 30:14 31:1,4 34:22 35:6,11 36:6,16,18 38:20,21 40:17 41:7 42:12,15 43:3,9,12 47:13,14 51:16,19

52:2 53:16 54:7,19,22 55:3,8,10,19 56:10,13 57:1 58:7 62:15 63:3 63:7 78:5,5,12,14 home 6:7

Hon 1:10,11,12 3:2 5:4 5:11 11:15 12:1,16 13:5 16:13,17 17:8,15 18:8 21:7,11 28:2,5 30:14 31:1,4 34:22 35:6,11 36:6,16,18 38:20 40:17 41:7 42:12,15 43:3,9,12 47:14 51:16,19 52:2 53:16 54:7,19,22 55:3 55:8,10,19 56:10,13 57:1 58:7 62:15 63:3 63:7 78:5,12,14 honestly 43:18,22 hope 82:9 hopefully 51:3 64:17 hour 8:1 61:12

idea 3:8 27:1 ignorance 76:13 **ignores** 16:21 ignoring 5:21 illegal 25:21 88:20 illogical 17:2 immediate 49:2 immediately 50:4 impairment 46:13 implication 9:20 imply 36:11 **important** 18:5 47:1 inappropriate 24:15 25:11 26:16 27:6 42:20 83:20 incapable 11:8,9 34:18 incapacitated 40:11,14 incapacity 20:2 include 75:20 79:12 82:20 included 21:18 38:9,10 60:5 including 12:10 36:2 48:8 inclusion 69:16 80:15 inclusive 40:1 incompetent 32:11 inconsistency 6:5 8:10 10:18 inconsistent 4:12 8:3 incorporated 56:20 incorrect 7:1 41:10 indecent 2:10 63:17 64:2,8,9 65:7,8,22 66:1,5,17,18 67:2,21 68:22 70:5,7,12,12,18 71:1 72:21 76:7,21,22 77:2,21 78:17 79:11 81:2 89:18 indicated 59:10 information 45:21 80:14

informed 82:7

injury 18:12 53:10 **inside** 29:5,7 instructors 88:16 intelligent 80:15 intended 70:2 intending 40:22 **intent** 22:5 interested 13:15 25:15 25:17 **interesting** 6:3 83:19 interests 65:19 internally 4:12 **internet** 39:1,13 interpose 39:19 interpret 46:12 interpretation 79:12 intervention 89:22 intoxicant 51:11 involved 13:18 23:15 24:1,3,11 25:9,11 27:11,13 84:1,4,5 involving 86:4 issue 4:10 7:22 8:3 11:19 13:19 14:6 19:1 19:5 23:17 30:12.18 31:15 34:16 35:15 38:2.12 39:3.11 42:17 42:18 50:7 51:9 53:4 56:17 58:21 59:21 60:12 61:2,3,5 62:16 85:4 89:3 90:7 91:7 issues 2:8,13 3:11 15:16 16:8 30:8 31:16 49:1 58:2 60:14 81:17 89:15 itty-bitty 30:15 **James** 1:14

Jill 1:14 4:9 16:1 72:2 74:16 **Jim** 35:13 44:18 54:10 71:12,14 76:16 87:12 join 59:14 **Jones** 1:10,11 2:3,5,21 3:7,11,22 4:3,8,16 5:7 5:13 6:1,6,14,17 7:2,6 7:9,18 8:11 9:4,5,7 10:4,11,14,16,20 11:1 11:8,13,22 12:8,19,22 13:7,11,22 14:9,14,19 15:17 16:1,10,16 17:6 17:12,18 18:14 19:9 19:11 20:16 21:6,12 21:21 22:11 23:4,11 26:5,19 27:9,14,17,20 27:22 28:4,8,19 29:2 29:5,12,16,18,21 30:2

30:4,21 31:3,7,12,19 32:12,17,20 33:3,6,16 33:21 34:8 35:1,9,12 35:19 36:12,17 37:3 37:16 38:3 39:16,17 41:4 42:22 43:5,11,16 44:10,16 45:5 46:3,8 46:15,19 47:11,20 48:13,16,20 49:13,18 50:17 51:1,16,18 52:1 52:4,9 53:8 54:20 55:2,6,9,15 56:2,11 56:15 57:1,9,17,20 58:8 59:12,17 60:8,16 60:18 61:4,10,19,21 62:1,9,11,15 63:2,5,8 63:14,16 64:11,15,21 65:16 66:4,9,12 67:8 67:20 68:4,20 69:2,9 69:20 70:14,17,20 71:3,6,11,13 74:19,22 76:21 77:4 78:8,13,21 79:20 80:1,16 81:15 82:11,22 83:5,15,18 84:7,10,13,16 85:1,15 85:18.21 86:1 87:9 88:6.10.14 90:2.20 91:6,10,14 92:10,16 92:19 93:2,6 **JPP** 1:18 47:2,13 48:8 54:12,13 59:19 71:18

54:12,13 59:19 71:18 74:13 90:13 JPP's 47:19 Judge 2:3 3:16 9:4,15 14:5 19:9 22:13 27:3

14:5 19:9 22:13 27:3 38:1 39:16 45:5 47:16 51:16 57:1 62:15 66:8 80:18 90:7 91:12 92:2 92:22

judges 12:4,10 JUDICIAL 1:3 jump 68:2 Justice 73:22

keep 24:21 keeps 85:13 Kelly 1:19 Kepros 1:12 2:16 7:17 7:20,21 8:20 9:9 10:17,22 13:13,13 21:2,10 24:5 27:16 32:22 33:4,12 34:19 35:8 45:16,16 47:7 50:12,13,21 53:5 59:9 59:13 60:2,10,17,20 62:7,10 75:11 82:4

85:12,16 91:4,8

**kept** 43:17 kind 17:19 21:9 26:22 53:9 kinds 25:2 Kirt 37:22 45:11 68:1 70:10 82:15 89:21 Kirt's 38:1 Kirtland 1:20 knew 20:3 80:8 know 2:18 3:5 5:4 8:11 12:7 13:20,22 14:2,3 14:5,7 18:1,2,6 19:22 26:10,17,21 29:9,14 35:3,7,13 38:7,16 40:5,6 41:22 42:3,9 43:19 45:1,9,18 46:22 47:12,14,17 48:9,16 49:3,8,9 50:3,4 54:2 54:20 55:11 59:4,7,17 60:18 62:19 63:21 65:6,14,19,21 66:22 68:6 70:8 71:8 72:7,8 73:19 74:11,21 75:9 75:12,19 76:8,18 78:3 78:4,7 80:8,10,10,17 80:22 81:2,5,6,17,18 81:21 82:5,7,9 83:7,8 86:21 87:5,15,17 88:11 90:18 91:17,19 92:2,5 **knowing** 39:2,4 40:21

known 20:3,22 21:16 21:20 Kudos 82:14 Kyle 1:16

**L** 1:19

**knowledge** 19:7 21:4

21:10 58:22 60:15

lack 54:1 lacked 36:13 language 2:17,22 6:12 9:11 11:2,17,18 12:6 13:4 17:19 18:10 35:1 35:21 38:13 52:19 53:6 54:17 86:17 Laurie 1:12 7:19,20 8:19 10:16 13:13 21:22 23:5 27:15 33:10 45:16 50:13 59:5 75:11 82:2 83:6 90:21,22 91:1,3,7,17 Laurie's 23:10

Laurie's 23:10 law 77:7 lawful 67:7 lawyers 77:9 lay 55:21 57:8

lead 49:7 69:16 leave 17:19 22:4 27:4 61:13 73:2 78:6 leaves 80:14 leaving 38:11 **Lee** 1:13 **left** 6:7 47:5 **let's** 7:12 18:3 28:13 37:11 41:9,10 49:18 49:20 56:11 75:2 77:18 87:15 92:17 letting 78:7 level 14:11 19:7 22:4,5 liability 88:19 Lieutenant 1:17,19 lifted 53:12 liked 43:1 limit 24:12.22 limited 32:8 72:22 line 3:4 31:1 33:13 51:19 53:6 55:8,10,20 62:18 88:8 lines 54:8 lingo 67:15 **Lisa** 1:13 9:2 26:6 43:9 51:7 61:7 86:18 list 9:19 77:6 89:8 listed 71:19 72:11 74:5 74:9,15,17 77:3 91:16 lists 76:17 **litany** 13:14 67:19 litigation 13:18 **little** 17:21 19:1,10 20:10 37:17 43:14 57:7 68:2 78:16 85:10 87:7 91:18 **Liz** 17:10 28:4,13 31:22 35:5 38:21 39:13 41:5 42:7 44:21 52:7 53:7 54:6,10,20 56:8 78:5 78:8 loaded 73:19 long 62:3 86:5,18

78:8 loaded 73:19 long 62:3 86:5,18 longer 49:15 look 14:20 15:21 16:3 30:10 36:9 46:20 51:1 56:20 57:6,10,14 61:17 78:2 84:11 85:6 85:11 looked 38:22 54:16 81:7 looking 47:2 52:11,16

53:5 looks 2:17 19:2 30:7 53:14 56:11 lose 23:20 25:13 77:15

loses 26:2 lot 28:18 55:11 60:9

68:10 76:10 93:6 **lowers** 45:2 **LTCOL** 3:10,16 4:2 9:4 9:6,8 10:10,13,15 11:7,12 12:21 13:3,10 14:5,10,18 15:3,22 19:9,13 22:13,16 23:3 27:19,21 28:7 31:11 33:20,22 37:22 39:16 39:18 45:5 46:18 47:16,21 48:14,19 49:12,14 52:3,8 54:14 57:16,19 62:14 63:6 63:12,15 64:4,13,16 65:3,17 66:7,11,15 67:16 69:19 80:12,17 81:14,16 82:19 83:4 84:12 85:20,22 86:22 88:4,7 90:6 91:9,12 92:1,15,18,22

M ma'am 3:10 10:10,13 13:3,10 14:18 15:3,22 27:19 28:7 31:11 33:20 46:18 48:19 49:12 52:3,8 57:16 62:14 63:6,12,15 64:4 68:1,8 69:1,19 70:10 70:18 80:12 82:19 83:4 85:22 86:22 90:1 92:15 93:4 Maggie 6:2 46:9 majority 65:4 making 28:12 34:2 40:17 43:4,4 48:3 49:10 58:13 69:14 maltreatment 24:11,15 24:16 25:12 83:21 mandate 23:7 manual 8:6 9:14 10:2,7 33:1,9 37:20 64:19 67:18 74:3 77:18 Margaret 1:15 **Maria** 1:20 Marine 1:17 Marsh 1:20 68:1,1,8 69:1,7 70:10,10,15,18 70:21 71:4,8 90:1 Marsh's 37:22 Martial 33:2 64:20 77:19 matter 93:9 **matters** 38:14 max 64:13 maximum 67:5 74:6

MCM 8:5 mean 5:2,14 12:17 17:1 24:3 25:18 27:5 29:5 29:14 32:13 37:1 41:8 42:22 45:12 49:15 57:9 80:13 meaning 36:8 39:10 meanings 38:8 means 32:1 48:2 54:3 55:22 60:15 68:10 71:18 73:20 88:14 meant 52:17 74:12 meeting 1:5 39:21

meetings 66:17 mellow 6:9 members 3:13,14,17 12:5,9,11,14 13:1,2 13:18 14:13,17 26:9 39:20 48:3 50:5 59:15 60:5 62:5 63:11 memories 55:4,5,10,11

47:19 90:5 93:3,5,8

55:12 memory 46:1 55:5,13 55:16

mens 60:13.22 61:1 91:18 92:14 mental 35:15,16,18 36:3,4,4,17,22 37:1,4 37:5,7,9,13 38:14,17 38:17 39:4,6,10 40:16 41:20 42:3,17 43:1,17 43:17,18,22 44:2,4,5 44:8,8,13,15,19,21 45:13,15 46:7,11,12

51:6 mentally 40:11,14 mention 60:3 mentioned 72:3 mentioning 33:12 mere 19:19

**met** 1:9 metaphor 41:10 MG 6:2 27:4 46:9

MG(R) 1:15 military 12:10 15:10 26:11 52:16 65:18,20 73:22 76:3 79:16

mind 16:14 43:13 89:16 89:19

minor 4:10 6:17 30:6,9 31:16 51:3 58:4,17 85:6

minutes 61:14,14 78:6 83:14 misrepresented 20:8

**MJRG** 68:9 modifications 47:3 **modify** 58:14 months 66:14 67:6,14 morning 82:14 **mouth** 41:18 move 11:14 19:4 28:1 60:9 63:3 83:11 **moved** 15:5 moving 31:14 56:4,17 mustn't 8:17

Ν name 59:22 narrow 19:3 42:20 58:1 58:12 60:14 87:7 **nature** 44:14 necessary 3:6 7:4 22:5 need 4:18 5:16 8:7 18:5 26:21 32:22 33:6 37:14 47:14 48:4 49:4 49:7,8 53:11 54:3 58:2 62:2 63:18,18,19 80:3 87:16 88:12 90:2 90.16 **needed** 89:2 **needs** 33:13 negligence 19:19 neither 22:21 neutral 88:11 **never** 17:3 new 11:10.17 50:7 81:4 84:22 85:2,4 86:2 **nice** 55:12 nine 15:15 46:21 **nits** 85:10 non 24:16 26:3 76:14 non-consensual 24:2,4 25:10,18 non-controversial 88:21 normative 75:22 **note** 16:5 34:1 58:3 **notes** 6:7 **notice** 13:19 **notion** 14:7 23:5 **nuance** 24:20 number 34:9 68:21 81:10 numbered 68:10 numbering 30:10

### 0

numerical 7:15

objections 31:8 **obtain** 88:15 **obviously** 2:7 47:17 48:8 offender 89:8 offense 54:2 64:5 65:21

67:13,17,22 68:16,17 71:5,19,22 72:3,10,11 72:12,17,19,20 73:9 73:21 74:5,14,16 76:4 76:19 77:10,13,20 78:20 81:1,4 offenses 15:9 68:11,14 68:21 74:4 76:17 89:8 office 47:22 48:1.6 66:16

Official 1:20,21 oh 3:22 5:11 16:4 19:11 21:6 22:15 29:12 37:8 38:3 55:9 85:18 86:9 91:14

okay 2:3,15,21,22 3:22 5:11,13 6:16 7:2,6,10 7:11 10:4,11,14 11:1 11:12 12:19 13:12 14:14 15:3 16:1,4,10 17:18 18:7,8,19 19:13 20:16 21:6,11,22 23:2 23:3 27:14,15,17,22 28:4,8,11 30:7,21 31:8,12 32:18,20 33:6 33:10 34:9 35:11,12 44:17 46:15,19 48:16 51:4,9,15 52:2,18 53:12 54:22 55:18,22 56:2,3,15,16,22 57:9 57:15,21 58:9,18 62:2 62:11,13 63:7,8,16,17 64:15 66:9 68:20 69:2 69:9 70:17 71:6.11 77:4 79:15 82:22 83:6 83:10,15,18 84:12,16 85:5,6,21 86:21 88:4 88:15 89:2,4,20 91:6 91:9,14 92:18,22 93:2 old 55:4 64:9 67:9,10 ones 32:9 33:5 46:10 53:15

open 17:19 18:3 opens 19:17 operated 72:18 opinion 20:19 opposed 29:11,12,21 39:22 74:17 86:15 order 25:7 65:20 66:2 67:3 69:5,13 72:4,14 79:15 81:19 ought 18:10 outline 82:5 outlying 90:6 outside 25:3 70:22 80:4 over-breadth 65:13

81:20

over-broad 69:16

McGovern 1:19

76:19

overall 4:14 5:9,19 15:6 overly 58:12 79:11 overturn 77:15

### Р

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

p.m 93:9

page 2:16 4:6,12 6:18 7:21 10:22 11:3 15:18 16:2,11 18:20 19:4 22:17,18 28:1,2,8,9 28:11,12 30:14,17 31:9,14,15,18,19,21 32:19 33:15 34:17,21 51:10 52:18 53:5 54:7 55:3,8,19 56:4,17

57:2,17,21 58:10,21 59:13 60:4 62:17 63:16 84:8 85:6,11 86:6,11 88:15 89:3,3

pages 18:3 30:12 34:9 34:12 51:7,14 56:7 58:7 83:11

painful 10:2

panel 1:3 12:5,8,11,13 13:1,2,18 14:13,17 46:11

Panelist 45:21 paragraph 4:11.11 5:7 6:18 11:3,14 15:1,18 16:3 17:3 22:10 30:7 53:17 54:8 55:20 57:2 57:3,3 60:3 62:4,17

63:9 78:15 Paralegal 1:22

Pardon 62:9

parents 55:12 part 13:5 15:12 19:7

21:3 41:22 42:2 51:20 54:12 57:3 59:19 70:11 81:3

part's 78:13

particularly 51:12

parts 8:6 **Peace** 35:2

**Pease** 35:2,4,15 36:13 37:8 38:13 39:9 43:15

43:16 44:3

penalty 64:12,13 71:7 pending 72:14 80:6

81:13,19

**people** 8:16 13:14,17 18:2 38:8 43:6,20 44:21 50:9 65:4,9 75:20 76:4 78:10 82:10 83:10 90:11

91:22

perceiving 39:3,5 perception 40:20 41:8 41:11 perfect 27:13

person 32:7 40:13 75:21 85:9,9 86:12,13 perspective 26:12

persuasive 62:18 **phone** 6:13 50:8,10 phrase 21:18 40:6

52:13

phrasing 53:11 physical 18:12 36:3,11 36:15,22 37:1 40:7

41:1,5 44:4,8,15 53:10

**physically** 40:10,12 piece 7:15 27:5 90:21

pin 90:7 92:3 **place** 7:21 63:1 73:12

places 8:4,5 40:5 44:19 87:5

placing 85:8 plan 47:17

planning 47:21 point 2:14 6:20 17:12 40:18.18.19 42:16

43:3,4,12 46:4 62:3 **pointed** 21:15 **points** 11:18 12:7

**police** 76:6 poor 55:4

portions 25:10 position 20:9 60:7,11

62:13 73:10 81:13 82:1,6 83:5 86:14

possess 40:7 41:5 44:13,14

possibility 19:18 77:6 possible 40:1 64:12

77:13,14 potential 69:4

practice 83:20

practitioners 12:10,13 65:18

prefer 38:18 preferred 38:16

prejudice 69:5 prejudicial 66:2 67:3

69:13 72:4 73:1 prepare 59:10

prepared 3:12,14,21 preparing 4:22 50:14

present 1:11 8:10 presentation 47:10

48:11 59:19 presented 24:8

presenters 49:16 64:22

preserves 23:5 preserving 65:20 **President** 64:1 67:9,11 67:20 68:15 72:1,13 74:3,7,18 75:3,6 76:17 77:3,6,10,19 79:21 81:4

President's 83:3 presiding 1:10 pretty 48:14 83:12 principle 38:4 60:22

print 48:6 59:18 90:18 **prior** 11:18 64:10 66:17

probably 9:10 19:15 45:3 48:17 49:10,16 54:21 55:16 71:21

90:16 91:2

problem 7:15 11:2 12:3 12:4 17:2 20:17 41:7

42:1 44:19 79:8 problematic 26:7,15 problems 2:21 28:9,11

28:15 58:6 65:13 72:20 85:5

proceed 2:4 PROCEEDINGS 1:3

process 39:2,4 41:20 42:17 64:6

processes 39:7 processing 45:18 **Prof** 1:13

Professor 2:8 7:12 16:4 19:5,15 21:14 22:1

23:5 37:17 45:8,9,19 48:9 59:6,7,22 62:12 65:10,14 81:18 83:8 91:17 92:4

promulgated 64:17

pronounce 35:3 proof 69:12

proposal 3:13 15:2 73:3 75:9 79:19 80:6 81:13 83:3

proposals 3:14 4:22 proposed 29:22 45:7 45:10 72:18 77:5 89:1

proposing 5:20 29:10 68:15 77:21

prosecutable 24:10 66:13 75:17

prosecute 24:13,17 25:3 65:7

prosecuted 66:6 67:10 72:8

prosecution 15:9 40:4 prosecutions 11:19,20

13:12

prosecutors 12:11 84:18 protecting 40:2

protection 72:2,6 **prove** 20:2 62:21 79:3

**proves** 62:19 provides 15:7

**provision** 70:16 76:15 86:2

publication 47:9 publish 50:18,19 published 77:19 **pulled** 54:15

punished 23:19 punishment 67:5,7

74:6 76:19 punitive 67:6

purview 80:4 Pushing 43:11

put 8:17 15:12 26:2,16 28:15 34:3 37:1 38:7

40:3,5 50:9 53:13 57:14 75:2,3 83:1,1 85:12,15 87:17

**puts** 76:18

putting 9:16 17:9 38:13 49:16 66:10 76:10 79:8

## Q

question 16:13,21 17:15 23:9,14,14 24:14 25:6,15,17 36:15 37:14 45:6 53:16 54:16 71:17 73:6,11 75:8 79:1 80:22 81:10 82:13 84:17 89:5 90:11,14 questions 38:1 54:11 89:16 quick 16:3 91:10 quickly 83:12 quite 14:6 21:16 49:7

### R

quote 54:12

raise 12:7 raised 19:6 raising 43:14 ran 68:9 rank 86:14 ranks 26:9 rape 69:17 70:2 rationale 34:13 52:21 54:12 65:5 72:15 75:7 re-added 78:19 79:5 re-jigger 14:15 rea 60:13,15,22 61:1

91:18 92:14 regarding 40:8 42:15 45:9 47:20 76:16 77:2,5 80:19 reach 2:12 registerable 76:4,8 48:13 49:13,14,18,18 82:16 84:6 87:1,11,22 reached 88:17 registration 78:4 89:9 50:17,21 51:20 52:13 88:3 reacted 6:3,6 registry 71:13 53:13 54:14 56:1 **scope** 72:21 reaction 49:3 regurgitation 64:9 57:19 59:12 62:6 score 17:17 scrub 48:5 read 15:6 18:2,7 32:12 **relate** 11:16 64:11,21 65:17,17 scrutinize 33:17 32:13,17 34:14 35:4 related 89:5 66:11,19 67:16 68:16 38:21 57:2 63:14,20 relates 2:9 68:18 69:2 70:14 71:1 se 25:21 88:20 second 3:3 6:18 15:14 71:21 relationship 25:12 27:7 71:3,7 74:20 76:22 reader 57:8 83:20 77:2 78:12,14,21 16:15 36:21 41:22 relationships 24:15 reading 29:9 31:21 54:5 81:15 85:1,3 90:20 42:2 51:19 62:18 81:3 82:12 26:8 84:19 86:3 89:6 91:3 section 31:9 33:17 reads 7:6 63:14 relying 22:9 road 91:21 46:20 57:17,21 58:4 ready 2:4 remain 82:1 room 75:21 58:18 59:5 sections 52:20 real 38:12 90:21 remainder 70:5 root 22:1 **realize** 57:11 remember 8:20,21,22 **Rose** 1:12 secure 86:15 87:10,11 really 12:17 23:17 19:6 20:18 21:17 43:5 **roundup** 34:13 87:12,13,17,20 88:8 24:18 26:20 27:6 32:8 43:8 44:1 58:19 69:10 route 34:2 82:21 87:2 see 2:16 3:22 10:18 38:5 40:15 46:2,3 remembering 45:22 routing 48:2 17:19 18:4 26:6 28:9 47:11 53:10,18 57:4 remove 26:14,15 60:13 **RSP** 49:6 28:13 29:12 30:21 73:19 76:13 79:1 81:8 61:1 63:2 rules 37:18 75:4 76:18 32:9 33:14 34:4 51:13 87:19 removed 16:6 52:18 56:7,11,19 57:6 run 7:11 reason 3:16 24:5 41:15 removing 17:14 61:18 62:3 66:12 S 41:17 44:2 50:13 renumbered 16:8 69:22 70:3 76:6 79:7 80:11 90:14 85:6 86:8 87:10 88:22 rephrasing 5:18 **sake** 87:4 reasonably 4:14.20 replace 88:7 89:12.18 91:18 sanity 2:11 15:8 20:3,21 21:15 report 2:12 9:11 18:3 satisfied 19:21 20:13 seeing 58:19 22:16 34:3 47:12,19 recall 6:8 23:16 43:6 20:14 seen 20:13 83:7 48:1,11 49:6 54:13,15 send 6:12 15:20 17:21 88:21 saw 68:16 recalls 19:16 61:17 81:5 82:21 88:8 saying 5:14 8:16 11:4,6 41:3 50:2 52:13 reckless 21:17 89:13 90:10,15 92:6,8 11:9 23:7 25:6 32:1,2 Senior 1:22 41:21 42:6,16,18 72:9 recollection 8:18 10:9 reports 2:18 sense 92:12 92:11 require 69:5,8 75:5 80:19 81:10 87:9 sent 15:1 63:20 75:19 recommend 9:8 22:22 required 53:10 91:21 86:9 29:1 63:22 67:21 81:1 requirement 18:12 says 4:13 5:9 8:5,6 22:4 sentence 4:10.13 12:9 requires 16:22 65:21 81:11 85:4,4 88:18 30:18 36:13,13 37:19 15:14 22:18 30:17 recommendation 8:8,9 requiring 20:1 62:20 43:15 48:3 51:20 53:18 87:18 9:12,22 10:1,6,19 Rereading 78:15 53:21 55:4,21 62:19 sentences 14:13 28:14 33:1 44:7 45:20 respect 14:1,2 34:15 76:3 87:14 **separate** 71:19 74:15 58:13 63:18 86:5 53:2 62:13 83:2 scenarios 24:7 74:16 92:12,20 89:11 responding 50:15 scheduled 47:18 separately 72:11 recommendations 8:3 responsible 21:1 Schenck 1:13 9:2 26:6 serious 49:1 91:1 rest 5:1 8:2 48:22 27:1,12 61:7,11,15,20 service 66:1 67:2,5 recommended 11:4 restrictive 39:10 61:22 72:5,22 75:17 79:14 50:16 56:8,18 64:2 resulted 77:16 Schinasi 1:13 Servicemember 66:20 84:20,22 85:2,7 reviewed 15:16 Servicemembers 13:19 **Schulhofer** 19:5,15 recommending 83:2 revisit 39:3 21:15 22:1 45:8 48:10 14:11,12 rework 13:16 59:6,7 62:12 65:10 set 22:10 recommends 8:4 record 21:3 rewrite 57:5 91:17 92:4 sex 23:18 24:2,8,11 rid 40:3 45:14 51:5 red 7:14 15:20 35:8,10 **Schulhofer's** 2:8 16:5 25:9,10,19 69:15 23:6 37:17 45:10,19 71:13 76:4,8 78:4 ridiculous 18:6 39:14 88:88 redraft 15:1 20:14 right 4:2,16 5:6 6:11,19 59:22 65:14 81:18 89:8 7:7 8:12 10:20 11:22 51:21 83:8 **sexual** 13:8,8 15:9 reference 22:17,18 70:5 13:7 14:9 17:10 21:21 Schulholfer's 7:12 23:15,22 24:17,22 referring 34:13 25:4 26:19 28:6 29:5 **Schwenk** 1:14 35:13,20 26:13,16,22 27:11,13 reflect 23:13 30:1 31:7,9 32:1,16 36:19 37:6 44:18 36:14 53:22 58:11,12 reflected 90:9,15 92:5,8 69:18 70:2 75:21 84:1 33:3,22 34:4 36:16,18 54:10,15 71:12,15 regard 39:11 36:19 37:6,16 42:12 73:18 74:11,20 75:1 84:3,5,19 86:3,11,13

89:5 **shades** 38:8 **Sharon** 1:22 **short** 48:14 59:21 62:16 **shot** 61:16 **show** 18:5 35:20 52:14 **side** 65:18 **sides** 26:7 signal 41:3,18 significance 4:1 silent 82:1 **similar** 10:18 simple 15:5 16:5 29:17 72:16 simpler 43:18 45:3 **simply** 30:9 **situation** 47:8 77:11 **sleeping** 32:4,10 **slight** 5:1 79:10 slightly 35:21 **small** 30:15 51:17 55:1 smartest 82:13 **solid** 22:3 somebody 2:14 50:10 57:6 soon 64:17 91:2 **sorry** 5:3,11,13 7:20 11:9,15 14:21 19:12 31:18,20 35:5,9 50:10 50:12 55:6 56:1 60:8 60:16 68:4 71:14 **sort** 7:21 18:15.22 24:14 34:12 37:16 59:1 64:17 76:10 sound 49:13 55:11 **sounded** 34:15 sounds 15:19 31:7 80:20 speak 65:12 81:8 **special** 77:12 **specific** 9:13,19 74:1,4 76:17 specifically 16:18 71:19 73:7 74:10 **specifics** 73:3 74:2 specified 32:9 68:14,17 68:21 70:13 71:22 72:13 77:11,20 79:20 specify 75:3 speculative 64:18 **speech** 42:10 **spoken** 19:22 **Sprance** 1:21 93:4 **Staff** 1:16,17,18,19,20 2:11 49:4 stance 60:6 **stand** 46:1 standard 21:4,8,9,16

22:10.19 23:1 start 2:6 8:16 9:19 49:9 63:22 71:16 starting 62:17 starts 31:9 54:9 **state** 76:5,9 **stated** 20:12 statement 7:4 11:20 74:1 states 1:1 20:15 76:5,6 78:1,3 **statute** 8:4,13,16 9:16 9:20 10:5 16:22 19:17 19:22 20:10 33:8 58:14,15 64:9 68:12 **statutory** 15:8 28:14 33:21 68:11,13 70:15 Stephen 72:1 stick 39:8 **stiffer** 91:18 **stop** 2:13 34:5 **straight** 7:11 67:15 85:13 straighten 8:7 **strict** 88:19 striking 24:6 strong 20:19 strongly 92:7 structure 17:2 structured 16:22 stuff 73:1 Sub-C 82:20 **Sub-D** 82:20 **Subcommittee** 1:5,9,18 3:14,15,21 5:9 15:7 15:14 22:19,22 47:18 50:5 59:16 60:5 62:6 62:18 63:11 75:15 81:22 90:9 Subcommittee's 51:21 60:7,11 subject 86:12 submission 32:3 submit 81:21 90:8 submitted 87:1 90:13 subordinates 26:10 subparagraphs 16:7 subsection 85:2,5 substance 58:16 succinctly 18:5,16 19:14 suffering 75:14

sufficient 20:22 72:17

sufficiently 5:17 15:11

**suggested** 23:10 24:6

34:19 35:7 56:20 60:3

suggest 39:8 53:2

84:11 86:9 87:3

suggesting 67:11 **suggestion** 3:3 18:19 27:9 34:22 **suggestions** 7:10 28:10 52:12 suggests 18:12 Sullivan 66:15 summaries 52:22 **summary** 8:8 52:10 53:13 sure 7:18 11:5 14:17 16:7 22:1 26:13 29:2 33:17 34:5 41:19 48:3 49:20 50:15 51:18 61:4 63:21 89:16 surrounding 32:5,13

synopsis 57:12

take 14:19 15:21 16:3 44:10 46:20 47:4 57:10.14 61:17 81:12 82:6 83:5 89:14 taken 10:21 79:4 80:9 80:11 takes 16:11 53:8 talk 18:21 27:5 43:20 44:4 talked 35:17 talking 2:19 9:15 14:3 33:10 34:7,18 40:16 51:9 55:13 61:2,5 68:7 talks 85:8 tautology 17:2 technically 26:10 teleconference 1:10 tell 14:10 51:7 64:1 term 44:1 46:10 66:14 68:9 73:19 terminal 69:8 terms 7:13 12:5 15:10 20:10 28:10 30:10 36:8 43:21 52:15 55:21 Terrific 93:2 testimony 24:20 25:16 34:14 52:21 55:17,17 57:12 text 28:15 56:22 thank 2:5 6:15 14:20 15:3 52:4 61:20,21 82:15 86:1 89:20 91:12 **thanks** 16:1 31:12 32:20 89:21 92:22 93:6 they'd 30:12

thing 9:12 12:2 18:14 60:2 62:3 72:7 82:13 things 15:6 25:2 32:3 46:1 55:1 60:19 69:16 70:21 79:12 think 2:22 3:7,16,19 6:4 6:9,19,19 7:14 8:1 9:11,18 10:8 11:3 12:15,22 16:2,11 17:10 18:4,17,17 19:6 19:21 20:4,12,14,17 21:3,14 22:3,11 23:4 23:20 25:8 31:22 32:12 33:10 34:14,20 35:17 36:1,7,12 37:17 38:14,16 39:19 40:6,9 40:15 41:4 43:1,9,17 43:18 44:2 45:3,8,17 46:4,5,6 47:4 49:3,5 49:17 50:7,8 51:4,12 52:14 53:9 54:11 55:13 56:2 65:3,11,12 66:15 68:3,6,18 69:10 69:13 71:10,16,20 72:1,9,15 73:13,18,20 75:13 76:11 78:9.10 79:6.15 80:13.18 81:8 82:4,7,11 83:11 84:10 85:18 86:10,22 87:6 89:13 90:20 thinking 43:21 91:20 thinks 72:17 third 30:6 75:21 thought 4:3 5:18 8:12 24:12,19 25:22 28:17 29:19 43:7 45:20 46:11 57:4 73:9 88:1 thoughts 83:8 threatening 56:5 85:8 three 54:8 56:7 78:9 **Thursday** 61:18 tight 49:4 tightening 9:10 time 14:15 29:15 35:17 36:9 47:4 49:4,7 57:5 90:17 timeline 48:15 timely 50:22 **Title** 20:7,8,12 today 34:7 48:2 51:2 59:2 tomorrow 48:18,20 49:20,22 tongue 41:19 tool 65:7 totally 52:14 88:21 touched 59:1,2 training 14:7 88:16

travel 76:4 various 3:13 50:6 years 64:14 72:19 **treated** 88:19 verb 30:20 week 61:18 trick 15:19 version 49:2 84:17 went 36:19 40:2 tried 87:2 versus 35:14 43:22 **who've** 65:9 **Zahn** 1:22 trigger 89:8 victim 6:19,20 40:2,5 William 1:21 0 trouble 17:14 winding 64:5 40:10 troubles 16:19 victim's 58:22 Wine-Banks 1:14 2:20 1 try 12:20 33:7 37:4 38:6 view 73:14 4:7,9,17 5:6,8,14 6:11 viewpoints 90:13 92:5 6:16,21 7:3,8 14:22 47:22 61:8 64:18 **1** 2:16 15:18 55:20 virtually 89:9 15:4 16:9 17:10 21:14 92:17,21 78:15 85:3 86:13 vote 8:22 trying 18:7 40:9 44:5 22:8,15 23:2,9,12 1(e) 86:10 52:16 57:11 67:14 voted 86:6 25:4 28:17,20 29:3,7 **1:00** 50:6 29:14,17,19 30:1,3 68:5 **10** 19:5 30:11,14,17 W **Tuesday** 49:11 31:18,20 32:16,18 31:9,21 60:12 61:2,3 turned 15:4,5 W 1:16 36:1 42:7,13 44:6,12 61:5 83:16 tweaks 14:16 wait 61:16 86:10 44:17 46:5 48:10 54:5 **10:35** 1:10 2:2 twice 35:18 waited 49:15 61:13 65:11 69:10 10th 58:21 two 2:7 22:2 36:8 40:20 want 6:11 13:1 25:13 73:11 74:8 78:15,22 **11** 31:14,18,19 32:19,21 45:12 52:6 55:1 59:14 26:20 38:2 41:2 42:9 79:22 80:3,13 81:12 32:22 81:10 60:19 62:5,8,10 63:10 82:2 83:13,16 84:9,15 43:19 48:4 50:15,21 **11:20** 61:14 72:22 57:6 59:14,22 61:4 84:21 86:17 87:19 **11:30** 48:21 88:1,13 **type** 6:12 65:12 66:13 69:22 **11th** 47:10 typo 28:3 51:17 62:16 70:3 79:7 85:12 87:13 **wishes** 53:2 **12** 10:22 23:8 34:9 witnesses 24:8 25:14 90:3,9,11,19 83:11.18 U wanted 4:4 6:9 12:7 wonder 13:16 29:10,22 **12:20** 93:9 **U.S** 1:16,17,19 18:21 20:5 35:6 40:1 38:9 **120** 3:5,9 15:7,11 18:7 **UCMJ** 28:21 29:8 64:3 43:7 60:2 69:11 80:8 **Wonderful** 34:8 61:19 20:6,8 22:12 24:1,3 68:11,12 71:20 72:10 92:14 wondering 86:18 24:10,18 25:3,13,19 **Woodward** 1:15 6:2 72:11 73:7 74:14 75:6 wanting 65:5 26:4,12 27:8 28:21 79:5.18 83:21 89:7 wants 48:7 90:15 92:4.8 27:4 46:9 29:8 51:21,22 59:4 ultimate 84:1 92:16.19 word 3:4,19 23:21 24:6 69:11,15 70:3 73:13 ultimately 59:3 warranted 15:15 24:21 26:1,15 29:8 73:14,17 75:12 78:11 unanimous 89:10 wasn't 59:10 78:19 42:14,19 44:7 46:6 78:17,19 79:2,7,17 uncertainty 15:12 way 2:15 3:20 11:7 71:18 76:7 85:16 80:5.5 81:1.11 83:1 unclear 22:21 16:21 17:9 24:14 36:4 87:20 83:22 84:18 88:20 unconscious 32:4,11 43:15 52:11 60:1 64:5 worded 9:18 89:7 understand 11:6 12:6 66:20 67:9 68:11 75:2 words 29:20 38:7 41:17 **120(b)(2)** 22:20 17:7 23:12 25:5 38:3 81:9 84:2 87:15 91:4 42:9 63:4 **120(c)** 70:4,7,8,9,13 41:11,12,13 53:18,19 ways 76:11 work 34:5 37:21 71:7 53:20 57:5 75:16 we'll 10:18 14:15 21:12 working 29:4 120(d) 74:21 76:13 46:19 52:11 53:14 works 5:19 34:20 61:16 **120(f)** 9:17 understanding 36:11 73:3,4,5 85:19 90:19 61:16 88:3 **120(g)(8)** 11:10 42:1 we're 4:22 5:14,20,21 worried 69:14 76:1 **120(g)(9)** 11:11 understands 73:20 5:22 6:13 9:15,16 **worry** 79:9 **13** 34:10 Understood 50:17 wouldn't 44:5 69:22 10:8 11:4,9 16:2,19 **134** 64:7,12 66:7,21 73:15 **Uniform** 73:22 74:17 17:22 22:9 23:6 27:7 67:8,10,18 68:14,16 unique 77:12 29:10 30:20 31:19 wrapped 73:4 68:17,21 69:7 71:22 **United 1:1 76:5** 32:1,2 33:7,8,10 34:7 write 5:2 6:4 82:17 83:6 72:12,17,19,20 73:9 unworkable 52:15 34:18 40:15 48:2 writing 82:3 87:14 73:15,21,21 74:4,7,10 49:16 51:2,4,5,6,9 written 19:17 20:21 **uphold** 77:13 75:3,6,13,17 76:2,15 22:6 48:1 59:11,18 **use** 4:13 25:9 26:12 52:5,6,19 53:4 55:13 76:17 77:9,20 78:18 31:5 35:18 37:5 38:15 56:2 58:20 59:17 61:2 wrong 11:21 63:1 78:19 79:2,5,8 80:6,7 wrongful 56:5 39:22 40:16 44:3 45:3 61:5 63:9,10,10,17 80:15 81:5,9,13 82:6 wrote 45:11 46:6,14 87:4 66:9 81:3 83:2 85:18 **135** 74:19 uses 35:15 71:17 88:11 89:13 90:4 **14** 34:10 X we've 17:13 38:14 **15** 34:17.21 85:4 46:20 51:4 91:16,20 **16** 51:7.17 vague 15:11 **Wednesday** 1:7 49:9 **17** 51:8 89:3

18 20:7,8,12 51:10,14   19 51:14     2		1
2 21:7 4:6 6:18 7:21 8:3 10:5 16:3 31:15 55:8 55:10,20 20 52:18 53:1,2,15,17 54:7 55:8,9,18 2007 64:10 72:19 77:22 78:17 2012 78:18 80:9,11 2015 1:7 54:13 21 53:3 55:3,7,10 22 53:1,3,6,13,15 55:18 55:19 56:3,8 23 56:4,16 24 56:16 25 56:16 26 56:17 57:2,18 27 57:21 28 58:8 29 58:8  3 311:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 418:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		8
2 1:7 4:6 6:18 7:21 8:3     10:5 16:3 31:15 55:8     55:10,20 20 52:18 53:1,2,15,17     54:7 55:8,9,18 2007 64:10 72:19 77:22     78:18 80:9,11 2012 78:18 80:9,11 2015 1:7 54:13 21 53:3 55:3,7,10 22 53:1,3,6,13,15 55:18     55:19 56:3,8 23 56:4,16 24 56:16 25 56:16 26 56:17 57:2,18 27 57:21 28 58:8 29 58:8  3 3 11:3,14 16:2,11 22:21     55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22	<b>19</b> 51:14	<b>8</b> 30:5,6,8
10:5 16:3 31:15 55:8 55:10,20 20 52:18 53:1,2,15,17 54:7 55:8,9,18 2007 64:10 72:19 77:22 78:17 2012 78:18 80:9,11 2015 1:7 54:13 21 53:3 55:3,7,10 22 53:1,3,6,13,15 55:18 55:19 56:3,8 23 56:4,16 24 56:16 25 56:16 26 56:17 57:2,18 27 57:21 28 58:8 29 58:8  3 311:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22	2	9
55:10,20 20 52:18 53:1,2,15,17 54:7 55:8,9,18 2007 64:10 72:19 77:22 78:17 2012 78:18 80:9,11 2015 1:7 54:13 21 53:3 55:3,7,10 22 53:1,3,6,13,15 55:18 55:19 56:3,8 23 56:4,16 24 56:16 25 56:16 26 56:17 57:2,18 27 57:21 28 58:8 29 58:8  3 311:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
20 52:18 53:1,2,15,17     54:7 55:8,9,18 2007 64:10 72:19 77:22     78:17 2012 78:18 80:9,11 2015 1:7 54:13 21 53:3 55:3,7,10 22 53:1,3,6,13,15 55:18     55:19 56:3,8 23 56:4,16 24 56:16 25 56:16 26 56:17 57:2,18 27 57:21 28 58:8 29 58:8  3    311:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4    4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5    5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
54:7 55:8,9,18 2007 64:10 72:19 77:22 78:17 2012 78:18 80:9,11 2015 1:7 54:13 21 53:3 55:3,7,10 22 53:1,3,6,13,15 55:18 55:19 56:3,8 23 56:4,16 24 56:16 25 56:16 26 56:17 57:2,18 27 57:21 28 58:8 29 58:8  3 3 11:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22	-	
2007 64:10 72:19 77:22 78:17 2012 78:18 80:9,11 2015 1:7 54:13 21 53:3 55:3,7,10 22 53:1,3,6,13,15 55:18 55:19 56:3,8 23 56:4,16 24 56:16 25 56:16 26 56:17 57:2,18 27 57:21 28 58:8 29 58:8  3 3 11:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
2012 78:18 80:9,11 2015 1:7 54:13 21 53:3 55:3,7,10 22 53:1,3,6,13,15 55:18 55:19 56:3,8 23 56:4,16 24 56:16 25 56:16 26 56:17 57:2,18 27 57:21 28 58:8 29 58:8  311:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22	<b>2007</b> 64:10 72:19 77:22	
2015 1:7 54:13 21 53:3 55:3,7,10 22 53:1,3,6,13,15 55:18 55:19 56:3,8 23 56:4,16 24 56:16 25 56:16 26 56:17 57:2,18 27 57:21 28 58:8 29 58:8  3 11:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
21 53:3 55:3,7,10 22 53:1,3,6,13,15 55:18 55:19 56:3,8 23 56:4,16 24 56:16 25 56:16 26 56:17 57:2,18 27 57:21 28 58:8 29 58:8  3 11:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
22 53:1,3,6,13,15 55:18		
23 56:4,16 24 56:16 25 56:16 26 56:17 57:2,18 27 57:21 28 58:8 29 58:8  3 3 11:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
24 56:16 25 56:16 26 56:17 57:2,18 27 57:21 28 58:8 29 58:8  3 311:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
25 56:16 26 56:17 57:2,18 27 57:21 28 58:8 29 58:8  3 11:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
26 56:17 57:2,18 27 57:21 28 58:8 29 58:8  3 311:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
28 58:8 29 58:8  3 11:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
3 3 11:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
3 3 11:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
3 11:3,14 16:2,11 22:21 55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22	<b>29</b> 30.0	
55:20 30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
30 58:8 30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
30-year 71:7 31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
31 58:10 35 58:21 36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17 4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15 5 5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
36 22:17,18 62:17 37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22	<b>31</b> 58:10	
37 59:13 60:4 63:9 38 63:17  4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
4 4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
4 18:20 51:9 55:20 40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
40 84:13 41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
41 84:8,14 42 84:16 85:5 43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15 5 5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
43 85:5 44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22	<b>41</b> 84:8,14	
44 85:7 45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15 		
45 61:14 85:11 46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15 		
46 86:1,6,11 47 86:7 48 88:15 		
48 88:15  5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22	<b>46</b> 86:1,6,11	
5 5 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 50 61:14 72:18 78:3 51 72:19 77:22		
<b>5</b> 19:4 28:3 52:5 55:20 <b>50</b> 61:14 72:18 78:3 <b>51</b> 72:19 77:22	<b></b> 00.13	
<b>50</b> 61:14 72:18 78:3 <b>51</b> 72:19 77:22		
<b>51</b> 72:19 77:22		
6 6 10:1 28:1 8 0 11 56:4		
<b>6</b> 19:1 28:1,8,9,11 56:4	<b>u</b> 19.1 20.1,0,9,11 00.4	
7	7	
<b>7</b> 28:12 30:5 56:17	<b>7</b> 28:12 30:5 56:17	

# ${\color{red} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{R} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{F} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{A} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E}}$

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Judicial Proceedings Panel

Before: US DOD

Date: 12-02-15

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &