

ARTICLE 139 CLAIMS

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
Fiscal Year 2012			
Military	Master Sergeant (MSG) requested "loans" from junior Soldiers. Claimant gave the MSG \$2,450 to help the MSG payoff wife's bad checks. No money was ever returned to the Soldier.	Articles 121 and 134	SM found liable for \$2,450.
Military	Master Sergeant requested \$1,200 to make a car payment and groceries for his children from a junior Soldier. No money was ever returned to the Soldier.	Articles 121 and 134	SM found liable for \$1,200.
Military	Two personally owned weapons were to be stored in the Soldier's safe. The weapons were reported as stolen to Police Department.	Article 121	Claim was recommended to be dismissed after the investigation found the Claimant not truthful.
Military	Playstation 3, Playstation Portable, laptop, and blu-ray player stolen from barracks room by 2 Soldiers.	Article 121	Claim paid under PCA (AR 27-20, Ch. 11) because of inaction by the command on the claim- \$2,750 claimed but \$1,726 paid.
Military	Soldier gave money (\$2,100) to another Soldier, claiming it was a loan that was never repaid.	Article 121	Claimant and Soldier had met and agreed to repay \$500 (the rest was agreed to be a gift) in \$50 increments before the filing of the 139. The command agreed and did not order withholding under Article 139.
Civilian	Soldier purchased a 2000 Chevy Tahoe from Claimant, signing a promissary note. Soldier did not pay on the note.	Article 121	Claim was found not cognizable because it was a breach of fiduciary duty, not a wrongful taking.
Military	Soldier bought a dirtbike from an unidentified Soldier that was stolen from Claimant. Soldier modified the dirtbike before discovering it was stolen in the amount of \$2,403.48.	Article 121	Claim was denied because the Soldier did not know the dirtbike was stolen when he purchased it (no wrongful or wilfull destruction or taking).
Military	Soldier borrowed money (\$600) from Claimant while in Iraq.	Article 121	Claim found to be a loan and no withholding was ordered.
Military	Theft of personal property.	Article 121	Claim meritorious: \$275.00.
Civilian	Larceny of POV.	Articel 121	Unknown -claim tranferred to Korea.
Military	Larceny of \$2,061 by extortion.	Article 127	Unknown - claim tranferred to Ft Jackson.

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
Civilian	Theft of \$2500.	Article 121	Claimant awarded \$2500.00 from Soldier.
Civilian	Theft of \$1804.62.	Article 121	Subject reimbursed Claimant.
Military	Vandalism of claimant's POV.	Article 109	Claimant awarded \$971.36 from subject.
Civilian	Claimant rented a vehicle to a Soldier for 3 days - the vehicle was not returned until 8 days later.	Article 121	IO cleared Soldier of offense due to lack of contract with Claimant.
Civilian	Soldier took Claimant/boyfriend/roommate's property upon breakup.	Article 121	IO did not substantiate claim due to issues of ownership.
Civilian	Soldier sold stolen govt property (washers, dryers, beds, refrigerators) to civilian. Property was later confiscated by CID.	Article 134	Soldier ordered to pay the sum of \$1290.00 to claimant 25 Sep 2012.
Military	Soldier stole credit card and used it to obtain \$4300.00 from claimant's account.	Article 121	Soldier ordered to pay the sum of \$4300.00 to claimant 24 Jun 2013.
Military	POV damaged and parts sold while Soldier deployed.	Articles 121 and 109	Claim dropped. Respondent discharged. (\$2,948.58).
Military	POV damaged, abandoned and impounded while soldier deployed.	Article 109	Partial payment-\$4,403.73 (\$12,846.65).
Military	Dirt bike stolen and wrecked.	Articles 121 and 109	Claim payment-\$2,157.48.
Civilian	Claimant (military spouse) alleged that her husband wrongfully withheld a portion of his monthly BAH/OHA from her that was intended for spousal support (11 years starting in year 2000). The claim was \$40,429.00. This was not considered a "wrongful taking" within the meaning of Article 139 of the UCMJ as the BAH/OHA was not the property of the claimant.	None	Claim denied.
Military	A Soldier filed for damage to his POV, which he alleged was willfully damaged by another Soldier. The claimant alleged he gave permission to the Soldier to drive the POV and the claimant had observed damage to the rear of the POV after the POV had been returned. There was no evidence that the vehicle was damage by the borrowing Soldier (and no evidence of willful damage). Also, the claimant admitted giving the borrowing Soldier permission to drive his POV, so there was no wrongful taking.	None	Claim denied.
Civilian	Claimed \$7,000 for a 1999 Ford Ranger pickup truck (unpaid loan).	Article 121	Dismissed 1 March 2012.

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
Military	NCOIC failed to repay loan of \$23,7444.24.	None.	Not a cognizable claim.
Military	Vandalism of personal property - laptop, external hard drive, uniform items.	Article 109	Substantiated, assessed \$854 against Soldier/offender.
Military	Soldier stole another Soldier's identity and withdrew funds from their account.	Article 121	Voluntary restitution \$3100, claim w/drawn.
Military	POV bullet holes from weapon firing.	Article 109	\$5000 from pay.
Civilian	Withdrew funds needed for spousal support.	Article 121	Denied-not owner of property.
Military	Credit card theft	Article 121	Claim not cognizable (Soldier out of Army)
Military	Property theft of personal items and specific documents belonging to the claimant	Article 130	SPCMCA approved payment of \$78.00 to the claimant, DFAS deducted amount from the accused.
Military	Theft and damage of POV from Claimant	Article 121 and Article 109	SPCMCA approved \$679.92, finance stated S/M has a negative balance and will not be receiving final pay
Military	Pet owner stated another dog caused injury to his pet and required treatment	None	SPMCA approved \$1,489.68, DFAS deducted amount from the accused
Military	Claimant's vehicle was damaged due to another vehicle parked next to vehicle and was incinerated	Article 109	SPCMCA approved \$484.88, DFAS deducted amount from the accused
Military	SM fraudulently used the claimant's ATM card.	Article 121	Claimant withdrew claim.
Civilian	SM stole the claimant's wallet and its contents.	Article 121	Claimant recovered the requested amount of \$935.
Military	SM fraudulently used the claimant's ATM card.	Article 121	Claimant withdrew claim.
Civilian	SM stole the claimant's wallet and its contents.	Article 121	Claimant recovered the requested amount of \$935.
Military	Claimant alleged that the accused wrongfully stole \$150 by fraudulently cashing one check in his name through AAFES on 5 February 2012.	Articles 121 and 123	Claim Denied. Accused left the Service.
Military	Claimant alleged that the accused wrongfully stole \$900 by fraudulently cashing four checks in his name through AAFES on 20, 21, 24, and 26 January 2012.	Articles 121 and 123	Claim Denied. Accused left the Service.
Military	Laptop (stolen)	Article 121	Disposition unknown.
Civilian	Willfull Damage to POV	Article 109	Unknown
Civilian	Willfull Damage to POV	Article 109	Unknown

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
Civilian	Willfull Damage to POV	Article 109	Withdrawn After Voluntary Restitution (\$1,087.00)
Military	Selling of stolen govt property (3 PLBs & 17 SAP Plates)	Article 121	Assessment \$240.00
Military	Vandalism of personal property (Apple I touch, Acer laptop, balnket, photos)	Article 109	Assessment \$861.99
Military	Debit Card (Purchases with stolen debit card information)	Article 121	Assessment \$1,004.00
Military	Active Duty Claimant's personal property valued at approximately \$1,536.06 was stolen from a locker in the barracks by four Soldiers	Articles 81, 109, 121, and 134	Claim Approved; thieves were court-martialed and liability assessed equally against each
Civilian	DUI drove into lawn, garden and fence	Article 111	Voluntary restitution (586.00 Euro)
Civilian (2 claims)	Service Member climbed onto roof of home and intentional damaged roof of two homes	Articles 109 and 134	SPCMCA found him liable for 1331.73 Euro and 831.62 Euro
Civilian (8 claims)	Service Member intentionally damaged vehicles with a shovel	Article 109	Service Member found liable for 177.31 euro, 98.96Euro, 58.91 Euro, 133.83 Euro, 91.39 Euro, 162.15 Euro, 107.58 Euro, and 167.09 Euro
Civilian	Service Member damaged vehicle fighting	Articles 109 and 134	Service Member made voluntary restitution in amount of for 1667.49 Euro
Civilian	Theft and damage to vehicle	Article 121	Assessment: \$3,200.
Military	Damaged his automobile by scratching it with a key	Art 109	Amount paid to claimant was \$2,202.17.
Military	Stolen items	Art 121	Amount paid to claimant was \$1,100.00
Military	Damaged personal property	Art 109	Amount paid to claimant was \$334.00
Civilian	Damaged vehicle	Art 109	Amount paid to claimant was \$1229.64
Military	Failure to return claimant's personal property and damage to claimant's vehicle	Art. 109, Art. 121	Denied (investigation failed to establish the requisite burden of proof)
Military	Claim alleged willful damage to on-post quarters when respondent agreed to act as a house-sitter then trashed the house and failed to feed Claimant's dogs.	Articles 109 and 134	Claim found meritorious - \$550 assessed
Military	Claim alleged theft from barracks room. X-box controller, games, winter jacket, sweatshirt	Article 121	Legal review indicates that the claim was found meritorious. Amount assessed, if any, is unknown.
Civilian	Claim alleged damage to rental home by respondent soldier	Article 109	Claim denied
Military	Claim alleged Claimant mistakenly allotted a portion of his military pay to another soldier rather than his ex-wife.	Article 121	Claim does not identify a respondent - no investigation conducted
Military	Claim alleged willful damage to Claimant's boat.	Article 109	Claim denied

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
Civilian	Claim alleged damage to rental home by respondent soldier	Article 109	Claim denied
Military	Claim alleged that respondent broke-in to Claimant's on-post home and stole items including gaming consoles, games, Ipod, knife, etc.	Article 121	Claim found meritorious - unknown how much, if any, was assessed by the SPCMCA
Military	Claim alleged Claimant added respondent onto her mobile phone plan. Respondent agreed to pay his portion of the bills. Respondent did not pay any portion of the bills.	Article 134	Claim denied
Civilian	Spouse withheld BAH (since 2000)	Article 92	denied/AR 27-20, para 9-9
Civilian	Spouse withheld BAH and tangible property (\$40,429); but requests \$10,000	N/A	Defer to OTJAG for adjudication
Military	Theft of credit card.	Article 121	Assessment: \$2,983.
Civilian	Negligent handling of personal property when packed and shipped to home address at Claimant's request and expense.	N/A	Denied - Not cognizable.
Civilian	Soldier throws Claimant's cell phone to the ground.	None	Soldier assessed \$49.00
Military	Theft of money from living quarters.	Larceny	Denied - Soldier failed to timely assert claim.
Military	Barracks larceny of personal property.	Article 121	Claim cognizable. Respondent held liable. \$199.95 assessed.
Military	Unauthorized sale of POV.	Article 121	Claim cognizable. Respondent not held liable due to his separation from the Army prior to SPMCA's decision.
Civilian	Intentional damage to a POV.	None.	Pecuniary liability not recommended.
Civilian	Private sale of POV that was never delivered.	Article 121	Claim cognizable. Respondent held liable. \$2,500 assessed.
Military	Intentional damage to a motorcycle.	Article 109	Claim cognizable. Respondent held liable. \$1006.16 assessed.
Military	Theft and damage of personal property when inventoried and put in storage; misuse of government cash card.	Articles 109, 121	Claim cognizable. Respondent held liable. \$4,386.89 assessed.
Fiscal Year 2013			
Military	Soldier was renting a property from Claimant. Soldier failed to pay the last month's rent, was evicted by Claimant and caused damages upon move-out in the amount of \$3,147.39.	Article 121	Claim was found not cognizable because it was a breach of fiduciary duty, not a wrongful taking.

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
Military	Soldier wrongfully took a Nikon D5100 camera, lens, and 64GB SD card belonging to Claimant.	Article 121	Soldier was found liable for \$792.71.
Military	Soldier took a ring belonging to Claimant.	Article 121	Soldier was found liable for \$1,000.
Military	Theft of power tools.	Article 121	Claim not meritorious.
Military	Unauthorized use of PayPal account to buy a variety of merchandise.	Article 121	Claim meritorious for \$4,920.15.
Military	Willful damage of Claimant's truck.	Article 109	Claim not meritorious.
Military	Theft of Property.	Article 121	Claim unfounded.
Military	Soldier was in receipt of stolen govt. property (a watch) which he sold to another. The person who bought the watches then sold the stolen watch to claimant.	Article 134	Soldier order to pay the sum of \$1,425.00 to claimant 6 Feb 2014.
Military	Claimant alleged that Soldier took his property (vehicle).	Article 121	Claim found not cognizable and denied by SPCMA 19 Dec 2013.
Military	Claimant let a Soldier borrow her phone because he wanted to try it out before he bought it. Soldier told claimant that the phone would not work, but then lost the phone. Soldier offered to give the claimant a different phone since he no longer had her original phone.	Article 121	Claim was found not to be cognizable. Case closed.
Military	Claimant pay \$2300.00 for a vehicle he bought from another Soldier. Claimant never received the vehicle nor the money he paid for the vehicle.	Article 121	Respondent returned the \$2300.00 to claimant. Case closed. 23 May 14.
Military	Failed to pay rent, stole and sold items from home to pawn shop.	Articles 134 and 121	Claim payment-\$1,150.00
Civilian	Bought stolen property from respondent.	Article 134 - Stolen Property	No payment. No funds available. Respondent court-martialed and received confinement. (\$250.00)

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
Military	A vehicle owned by a local national was found to be willfully damaged as a result of reckless and wanton misconduct of two Soldiers. Both Soldiers went for a drive in a vehicle after consuming alcohol during Typhoon Emergency conditions. In addition to the DUI, the one of the Soldiers was driving on a suspended license and without the mandatory property damage liability insurance. Both Soldiers violated USFJ and USARJ policies by leaving their quarters during Typhoon Recovery conditions. The claimed amount of \$5,820.99 was verified by the Investigating Officer. The convening authority ordered the both Soldiers equally liable.	Article 109	Fined by the Japanese Court. Claim approved.
Military	Same Facts as Above -- A second vehicle owned by a second local national was found to be willfully damaged as a result of reckless and wanton misconduct of two Soldiers. Both Soldiers went for a drive in a vehicle after consuming alcohol during Typhoon Emergency conditions. In addition to the DUI, the one of the Soldiers was driving on a suspended license and without the mandatory property damage liability insurance. Both Soldiers violated USFJ and USARJ policies by leaving their quarters during Typhoon Recovery conditions. The claimed amount of \$5,018.04 was verified by the Investigating Officer. The convening authority ordered one Soldier to pay half the amount as the other Soldier was already separated from the Army.	Article 109	Fined by the Japanese Court. Claim approved against one Soldier.
Civilian	Theft of ring in theater Mail Room.	Article 121	Denied, insufficient evidence.
Military	Personal property destruction.	Article 109	Claim unfounded.
Military	Stolen cell phone, charger.	Article 121	Payment Approved.
Military	Stolen cell phone, charger.	Article 121	Payment Approved.
Military	Stolen cell phone.	Article 121	Payment Approved.
Military	Stolen cell phone.	Article 121	Payment Approved.
Military	Stolen cell phone.	Article 121	Payment Approved.
Military	Stolen cell phone.	Article 121	Payment Approved.
Military	Stolen cell phone, memory card.	Article 121	Payment Approved.
Military	Stolen cell phone, memory card, charger.	Article 121	Payment Approved.

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
Military	Stolen cell phone, memory card, charger.	Article 121	Payment Approved.
Military	Stolen cell phone.	Article 121	Payment Approved.
Military	Stolen cell phone.	Article 121	Payment Approved.
Military	Stolen cell phone, case, headphones.	Article 121	Payment Approved.
Military	Stolen property by estranged wife.	Article 121	Denied-no theft of property.
Military	Accused was writing checks to make payment to the Claimant, knowing he did not have enough funds to cover the checks written.	Article 123a	SPCMCA approved \$250.00, DFAS deducted amount from the accused.
Military	Claimant stated the accused violated a separation agreement and accessed his account to start an allotment without his permission, thus withdrawing funds and placing in a separate account.	Article 132	SPMCA approved \$3,600.00, DFAS deducted amount from the accused.
Military	Accused was writing checks to make payment to the Claimant, knowing he did not have enough funds to cover the checks written.	Article 123a	SPMCA approved \$625.00, DFAS deducted amount from the accused.
Civilian	Accused stole the Claimant's vehicle and caused damage by hitting another vehicle later abandoning the damaged vehicle.	Article 121	SPMCA approved \$589.63, DFAS deducted amount from the accused.
Civilian	Claimant accused SGM Easter of wrongfully using his ATM Debit card withdrawing \$17,045.85, accused stated the funds were to be used for a wedding preparation, but failed to provide documentation of expenses	Article 121	GCMCA approved \$10,000.00, Soldier set-up allotment to reimburse claimant.
Military	Accused was writing checks to make payment to the Claimant, knowing he did not have enough funds to cover the checks written.	Article 123a	SPCMCA approved amount of \$625.00, DFAS deducted the amount from the accused.
Military	Claimant accused Soldier of wrongfully taking and damaging her television.	Article 121	Claimant failed to provide supporting documents.
Military	Stolen property.	Article 121	Accused was chaptered from Active Service before SPCMCA approved action.
Civilian	Soldier stole a cashier's check from the claimant's mailbox.	Article 121	Claim withdrawn - SM paid restitution outside of the Article 139 process.
Military	Soldier stole various items from the claimant's barracks room.	Article 121	Claimant withdrew claim on the same day of filing.

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
Military	Three Soldiers stole a television from the claimant's barracks room.	Article 121	Soldiers collected \$100 from one of the responsible service members. One of the other Soldiers was AWOL at the time, and the third Soldier was in confinement with
Civilian	Soldier stole \$300 from the claimant's purse.	Article 121	Claim withdrawn - Soldier paid restitution outside of the Article 139 process.
Military	Soldier vandalized the claimant's POV.	Article 109	Claimant recovered the requested amount of \$500.
Civilian	The claimant was called to be a witness in a court-martial. In order to attend, she flew her husband in from his job overseas. When the court-martial was delayed she submitted a 139 claim to recoup this expense. She claimed \$1,197.20 to cover her expenses.	None	Claim was dismissed as improper under Article 139.
Military (9 claims)	5 - laptop computers (including 1 for an Xbox; 4 - cash (totaling \$,360) [for all claims, accused borrowed property and refused to return]	Article 121	Partial restitution.
Military	Willfull Damage to Personal Property	Article 109	Cognizable (\$1,015.00)
Military	Active Duty Claimant alleged Soldier wrongfully took his Personal property valued at \$1,900	Article 121	Claim Denied
Military	A laptop computer was damaged by another Soldier during an altercation.	Articles 128 and 109	Cognizable in the amount of \$450.00.
Military	A wall and piece of floor tile in a Soldier's residence were damaged during an altercation with another Soldier. A dining room dish was also destroyed.	Articles 128 and 109	Cognizable in the amount of \$98.99.
Civilian claims)	(3) Claimant's automobiles was vandalized by dependent of a Soldier.	Article 109	Not cognizable under Article 134.
Military	Theft of wheels.	Article 121	Denied.
Military	Theft of credit card.	Article 121	Assessment: \$286.

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
Military	Failure of unit to return all personal belongings from claimant's barracks room after he was confined at the MWJRCF (\$5,500).	Articles 109 and 121	Denied (no evidence that property was wrongfully taken by unit).
Military	Soldier admitted to entering Claimant's barracks room and taking \$2,000 cash.	Article 121	Claimant was paid by Soldier prior to completion of the claim/assessment of damages.
Military	Failure of landlord to return claimant's security deposit for NC rental home in violation of SCRA (\$1,900).	Articles 121 and 134.	Withdrawn by claimant upon mutual settlement of the parties.
Civilian	Hit and run Traffic accident where subject (Mil) later identified.	Article 134	Soldier made victim whole.
Civilian organization	Soldier stole money out of locked box.	Article 130	Assessed \$1111.00.
Military	Claim alleged wrongful taking of dirtbike (motor) and mountain bike. On post theft.	Article 121	Respondent Charged Article 108, 109, 121, 134 (Guilty Plea) - Unknown if Article 139 money was recouped by claimant.
Military	Claimant alleges ATV stolen from Fort Carson Outdoor Rec storage lot (same respondent as 15 above).	Article 121	Respondent Charged Article 108, 109, 121, 134 (Guilty Plea) - Unknown if Article 139 money was recouped by claimant.
Military	Same facts and Respondent as above.	Article 121	Respondent Charged Article 108, 109, 121, 134 (Guilty Plea) - Unknown if Article 139 money was recouped by claimant.
Military	Same facts and Respondent as above - plus trailer stolen.	Article 121	Respondent Charged Article 108, 109, 121, 134 (Guilty Plea) - Unknown if Article 139 money was recouped by claimant.
Military	Claim against multiple respondents (3). Alleges barracks room theft of PS3 controllers and games.	Article 121	2 of 3 Respondents Charge Sheet Drafted Article 107, 121, 130 - Unknown Disposition - Unknown if Article 139 money was recouped by claimant.

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
Military	Claim alleged theft from Claimant's motor vehicle. GPS, military clothing, civilian clothing, amp stolen. Spoiler on vehicle damaged.	Articles 109 and 121	Claim meritorious - \$520.00 assessed.
Military	Claim alleged barracks theft of subwoofer, speakers, rifle sling, surefire light, X-Box controlers, gloves, glasses, headlamp and weapons cleaning supplies.	Article 121	Claim meritorious - unknown amount, if any, assessed by SPCMCA.
Military	Claim alleged respondent stole Claimant's motor vehicle while it was parked on Fort Carson during her deployment.	Article 121	Claim meritorious - \$2,500.00 assessed.
Military	Claim alleged respondent stole Claimant's backpack.	Article 121	Claim denied - Respondent separated prior to conclusion of Art. 139 process.
Military	Claim alleged respondent stole Claimant's motor vehicle.	Article 121	Claim meritorious - \$2,500 assessed.
Military	Claim alleged willful damage to rental property and wrongful taking of personal property located at the rental property.	Article 109	IO found the claim to not be meritorious. Legal review affirmed the IO's findings. The SPCMCA's action is not known.
Civilian	Claim alleged willful damage to rental property.	Article 109	Claim meritorious - \$1,842.48 assessed after request for reconsideration by Claimant.
Civilian	Claim alleged wrongful taking of personal property from rental property.	Article 121	IO found the claim to be meritorious and recommended assessment of \$14,509. Legal review recommended approval of \$9,707. The GCMCA's action is not known.
Military	Claim alleged willful damage to rental property.	Article 109	IO found claim meritorious and recommended assessment of \$2,557.95. Legal review recommended approval of \$1,307.95. SPCMCA's action is not known.
Military	Theft of property (jewelry) and money.	Larceny	Soldier assessed \$4,796.00.
Military	Theft and damage to a POV.	Article 109	Claim cognizable. Respondent held liable. \$6,409.33 assessed.
Military	POV's tires intentionally slashed.	None.	Pecuniary liability not recommended.
Military	Wrongful appropriation of unit funds for personal use.	Article 121	Claim cognizable. Respondent held liable. \$1,708.43 assessed.

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
Military	POV's fuel line intentionally cut and damaged.	Article 109	Claim cognizable. Respondent held liable and \$153.75 assessed.
Military	Three checks written for insufficient funds.	Article 132a (3 specifications)	Claim cognizable. Respondents held liable. \$1600 assessed.
Civilian	Wrongful appropriation and damage to a vehicle.	None.	Pecuniary liability not recommended.
Military	One Soldier poured sugar in the gas tank of another Soldier.	None charged	SPCMCA determined that the accused was guilty and that \$971.36 be taken from the accused's pay and paid to the claimant.
Fiscal Year 2014			
Military	Soldier left his car with a buddy while he was deployed. The Soldier took the car to Dallas, wrecked it and left it in Dallas.	Article 121	Soldier found not liable because of a POA.
Military	Claimant's necklace and wallet were taken by his unit escorts when he was being transported to Leavenworth. The items were never given to his wife, as directed.		Claim was transferred to Schofield/Shafter Claims Office 5 SEP 14.
Military	Soldier keyed Claimant's car on 2 occasions.	Article 134	SM was found liable for the insurance deductibles.
Military	Renter allegedly damaged home by urinating on carpet and walls. Claim was never submitted for sum certain and the claimant never substantiated that it was human urine v. pet urine. Claimant had conflicting reports of how damage occurred (human v pet urine) with each discussion. It was explained from the beginning that if pet urine it would be contractual in nature and not cognizable under Article 139.	Article 109	Administratively Closed-Not Substantiated and Contractual in Nature.
Military	Claimant was asked to purchase promotion party supplies and was told he would be reimbursed. Claimant alleged he was not reimbursed \$52.00 for the cake, sodas and cups.	Article 121	Voluntary restitution of the \$52.00 occurred during the Investigation. Investigation was founded but determined that restitution had occurred.
Civilian	Theft of battery from RV.	Article 121	Claim meritorious; \$162.75.
Civilian	Theft of battery from RV.	Article 121	Claim meritorious; \$201.10.
Military	Theft of battery from RV.	Article 121	Claim meritorious; \$239.24.
Civilian	Theft of battery from RV.	Article 121	Claim meritorious; \$229.48.

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
Civilian	Theft of battery from RV.	Article 121	Claim meritorious; \$178.31.
Military	Used stolen Star Card to buy tires & stereo	Article 121	Claim meritorious; \$802.45.
Military	Claimed \$1,800 for damage to an ASUS laptop.	Article 109	\$1,650 assessed on 9 October 2013.
Civilian	Claimed 590,003 KRW (aprox \$552) for damage to a Kia Morning automobile.	Article 109	590,000 Korean won (KRW) (aprox \$552) assessed on 18 Mar 2014, but respondent separated from military before assessment could take effect.
Civilian	Claimed 900,548 KRW (aprox \$842) for damage to a 2013 Hyundai Sonata automobile.	Article 109	880,548,000 KRW (aprox \$842) assessed on 18 March 2014, but respondent separated from military before assessment could take effect.
Civilian	Claimed 7,187,000 KRW (aprox \$7,151) for damage to a house roof.	Article 109	\$5,011.94 assessed on 9 April 2014.
Military	Claimed \$800 for damage to a Toshiba laptop.	Article 109	Dismissed 2 October 2014 - Soldiers agreed to \$500 private settlement.
Civilian	Claimed 2,691,228 KRW (aprox \$2,515) for damage to a 2001 Samsung K5 automobile.	Articles 109 and 121	Pending - 1,775,22 KRW (aprox \$1,660) assessment - open reconsideration period
Civilian	Motorized garage door forced open.	Article 109	Assessed \$2574, no DFAS collection (Soldier left Service).
Military	Soldier destroyed 2 vehicles.	Article 109	SPCMCA approved amount of \$830.06, DFAS deducted the amount from the accused.
Civilian	Stolen snowblower sold to civilian.	Article 121	SPCMCA approved amount of \$130.00, DFAS deducted the amount from the accused.
Civilian	Soldier vandalized the Claimant's POV.	Article 109	Claimant recovered the requested amount of \$541.86.
Military	A laptop, two watches, a television, and a pair of sunglasses were taken from the Claimant's home. The total value of all the items stolen was \$1,485.00.	Article 134	The Claimant received \$620.00. The IO was only able to establish that the alleged offender took the laptop and one of the watches.

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
Military	Claimant and accused were involved in a personal relationship. While living together, the accused and claimant entered into an altercation. As a result, the accused smashed and damaged the claimant's personal computer, Ipad, and Iphone. The accused then proceeded to burn and destroy approximately 100 pieces of the claimant's clothing and shoes in a pile. The claimant alleged damage and destruction of \$11,250.00 of her personal property.	Article 109 and 126	Claim substantiated. \$2,306.00 assessment in damages. Reconsideration Pending.
Military	Claimant alleged that the accused took her personal motor vehicle without her permission and crashed it into another car causing substantial damage. Claimant alleged \$7,500 of damage to her motor vehicle.	Article 121	Claim substantiated. \$3,750.00 assessment in damages.
Military	Claimant alleged that the accused intentionally vandalized his personal motor vehicle by removing the valve stems on two of his tires and taping them to the front of the windshield. Claimant alleged damage to his two tires of \$375.00.	Article 109	Claim substantiated. \$375.00 assessment in damages.
Military	Cash stolen from checking account via ATM totaling \$1,820.	Article 121	Claim approved for \$1820.
Military	Cash stolen from checking account via faulty loan taken out by subject in Claimant's name.	Article 121	Investigation on hold, pending CID criminal investigation.
Civilian	Damage to Rental Vehicle.	Article 109	Investigation found not cognizable (\$2,060.00).
Civilian	Willfull Damage to POV.	Article 109	Investigation found not cognizable-SM Discharged (\$1,676.00).
Military	Willfull Damage to POV.	Article 109	Cognizable (\$1,495.30).
Civilian	Theft of Property.	Article 121	Investigation found not cognizable (\$193.13).
Military	Willfull Damage to POV.	Article 109	Withdrawn After Voluntary Restitution (\$970.00).
Civilian	Vandalism (Vehicle and store front damage).	Article 109	Assessment - TBD
Civilian	Soldier intentionally damaged electronic garage door and a parking garage.	Article 109	Soldier found liable for 1865.92 Euro.
Military	Service Member negligently damaged claimants vehicle	N/A	Soldier made voluntary restitution.

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
DoDEA (School)	Soldier drove vehicle into fence.	Article 111	Not cognizable (DOD and instrumentalities are not proper claimants).
Civilian	Soldier alleged to have caused accident while drunk riding a bicycle.	Article 111	Not cognizable (no evidence of reckless conduct and claimant was cited by police for causing the accident by failing to yield right of way).
Military	Theft of mail.	Article 121	Assessment: \$246.61.
Military	Damage to property.	Article 109	Assessment: \$597.43.
Military	Damage to property.	Article 109	Denied.
Military	Damage to property.	Article 109	Denied.
Military	Personal property stolen by another Soldier (watches, motorcycle helmet).	Article 121	Total loss approx. \$2600; claim filed after guilty Soldier had received his final pay and accounting; no collection possible. NOTE: Soldier filed a small claims action in civil court and was compensated.
Military	Willfully damaged various items of personal property.	Article 109	Pending.
Military	Stolen property.	Article 121	Amount paid to claimant was \$919.95.
Military	Drill sergeant induced trainees (claimants) to donate to charity that did not exist and kept money for himself (\$6,855).	Article 121	Ongoing.
Civilian	Claim alleged willful damage to rental property.	Article 109	IO found claim meritorious and recommended assessment of \$2,094.11. Legal review recommended approval of \$2,145.19. SPCMCA's action is not known.
Military	Claim alleged willful damage to rental property.	Article 109	Claim not found to be meritorious.
Military	Theft of cash.	Article 121	Claim meritorious - \$1,629 assessed.
Military	Claim alleged damage to Claimant's motor vehicle.	Article 109	Claim withdrawn .
Military	Claim alleged respondent wrongfully diverted money from Claimant's tax refund to his own bank account.	Article 121	Claim withdrawn pending CID/IRS Investigation.
Military	Same basis as above.	Articles 121 and 134	Claim withdrawn pending CID/IRS Investigation.

Status of Claimant (Military or Civilian)	Details of Claim (include description of property taken or damaged)	Alleged Offenses under UCMJ	Final outcome - including any assessment of damages.
Military	Claim alleged respondents broke in to Claimant's office and stole a personally owned keyboard and TA-50 belonging to other Soldiers.	Article 121	Claim withdrawn.
Civilian	Claim alleged Soldiers took money and gift cards from her purse. Claimant is also victim in a related UCMJ case.	Article 121	Currently under investigation
Civilian	Damage to Rental Home (holes in wall, damage to doors, stained carpet, etc) total amount claimed \$3254.70.	N/A	Commander found claim to be cognizable and meritorious in the amount on \$695.44.
Military	Soldier cashed a check for another Soldier, and the check and the check bounced causing all the monies to be deposited from the Soldier's bank account. The Soldier was in the whole with the bank in the amount of over \$3000.	Article 134	The Soldier's claim was found to be cognizable, and the Soldier was repayed the monies over a period of six months.
Soldier	Theft of property (HHG) from parked trailer.	Article 121	Still pending.
Soldier	Theft of property (HHG) from parked trailer.	Article 121	Still pending.
Civilian	Soldier vacated rental home leaving tremendous mess and damages.	Article 134	None. Investigation Underway.