

**COMPARISON OF FRE TO MRE FOR VICTIM PRIVACY PROVISIONS
UNDER CONSIDERATION**

FRE	MRE
<p>Rule 412. Sex-Offense Cases: The Victim’s Sexual Behavior or Predisposition</p> <p>(a) Prohibited Uses. The following evidence is not admissible in a civil or criminal proceeding involving alleged sexual misconduct:</p> <p>(1) evidence offered to prove that a victim engaged in other sexual behavior; or</p> <p>(2) evidence offered to prove a victim's sexual predisposition.</p> <p>(b) Exceptions.</p> <p>(1) Criminal Cases. The court may admit the following evidence in a criminal case:</p> <p>(A) evidence of specific instances of a victim's sexual behavior, if offered to prove that someone other than the defendant was the source of semen, injury, or other physical evidence;</p> <p>(B) evidence of specific instances of a victim's sexual behavior with respect to the person accused of the sexual misconduct, if offered by the defendant to prove consent or if offered by the prosecutor; and</p> <p>(C) evidence whose exclusion would violate the defendant's constitutional rights.</p> <p>(2) Civil Cases. In a civil case, the court may admit evidence offered to prove a victim's sexual behavior or sexual predisposition if its probative value substantially outweighs the danger of harm to any victim and of unfair prejudice to any party. The court may admit evidence of a victim's reputation only if the victim has placed it in controversy.</p> <p>(c) Procedure to Determine Admissibility.</p> <p>(1) Motion. If a party intends to offer evidence under Rule 412(b), the party must:</p>	<p>Rule 412. Sex offense cases; relevance of alleged victim’s sexual behavior or sexual predisposition</p> <p>(a) Evidence generally inadmissible. The following evidence is not admissible in any proceeding involving an alleged sexual offense except as provided in subdivisions (b) and (c):</p> <p>(1) Evidence offered to prove that any alleged victim engaged in other sexual behavior.</p> <p>(2) Evidence offered to prove any alleged victim’s sexual predisposition.</p> <p>(b) Exceptions.</p> <p>(1) In a proceeding, the following evidence is admissible, if otherwise admissible under these rules:</p> <p>(A) evidence of specific instances of sexual behavior by the alleged victim offered to prove that a person other than the accused was the source of semen, injury, or other physical evidence;</p> <p>(B) evidence of specific instances of sexual behavior by the alleged victim with respect to the person accused of the sexual misconduct offered by the accused to prove consent or by the prosecution; and</p> <p>(C) evidence the exclusion of which would violate the constitutional rights of the accused.</p> <p>(c) Procedure to determine admissibility.</p> <p>(1) A party intending to offer evidence under subsection (b) must—</p>

**COMPARISON OF FRE TO MRE FOR VICTIM PRIVACY PROVISIONS
UNDER CONSIDERATION**

<p>(A) file a motion that specifically describes the evidence and states the purpose for which it is to be offered;</p> <p>(B) do so at least 14 days before trial unless the court, for good cause, sets a different time;</p> <p>(C) serve the motion on all parties; and</p> <p>(D) notify the victim or, when appropriate, the victim's guardian or representative.</p> <p>(2) Hearing. Before admitting evidence under this rule, the court must conduct an in camera hearing and give the victim and parties a right to attend and be heard. Unless the court orders otherwise, the motion, related materials, and the record of the hearing must be and remain sealed.</p> <p>(d) Definition of "Victim." In this rule, "victim" includes an alleged victim.</p>	<p>(A) file a written motion at least 5 days prior to entry of pleas specifically describing the evidence and stating the purpose for which it is offered; the military judge, for good cause shown, requires a different time for filing or permits filing during trial; and</p> <p>(B) serve the motion on the opposing party and the military judge and notify the alleged victim or, when appropriate, the alleged victim's guardian or representative.</p> <p>(2) Before admitting evidence under this rule, the military judge must conduct a hearing, which shall be closed. At this hearing, the parties may call witnesses, including the alleged victim, and offer relevant evidence. The alleged victim must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to attend and be heard. In a case before a court-martial composed of a military judge and members, the military judge shall conduct the hearing outside the presence of the members pursuant to Article 39(a). The motion, related papers, and the record of the hearing must be sealed and remain under seal unless the court orders otherwise.</p> <p>(3) If the military judge determines on the basis of the hearing described in paragraph (2) of this subsection that the evidence that the accused seeks to offer is relevant for a purpose under subsection (b) and that the probative value of such evidence outweighs the danger of unfair prejudice to the alleged victim's privacy, such evidence shall be admissible under this rule to the extent an order made by the military judge specifies evidence that may be offered and areas with respect to which the alleged victim may be examined or cross-examined. Such evidence is still subject to challenge under Mil. R. Evid. 403.</p> <p>(d) For purposes of this rule, the term "sexual offense" includes any sexual misconduct punishable under the Uniform Code of Military Justice, federal law or state law. "Sexual behavior" includes any sexual behavior not encompassed by the alleged offense. The term "sexual predisposition" refers to an alleged</p>
---	--

**COMPARISON OF FRE TO MRE FOR VICTIM PRIVACY PROVISIONS
UNDER CONSIDERATION**

	<p>victim’s mode of dress, speech, or lifestyle that does not directly refer to sexual activities or thoughts but that may have a sexual connotation for the factfinder.</p> <p>(e) A “nonconsensual sexual offense” is a sexual offense in which consent by the victim is an affirmative defense or in which the lack of consent is an element of the offense. This term includes rape, forcible sodomy, assault with intent to commit rape or forcible sodomy, indecent assault, and attempts to commit such offenses.</p>
<p>Rule 501. Privileges in General</p> <p>The common law--as interpreted by United States courts in the light of reason and experience--governs a claim of privilege unless any of the following provides otherwise:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the United States Constitution; • a federal statute; or • rules prescribed by the Supreme Court. <p>But in a civil case, state law governs privilege regarding a claim or defense for which state law supplies the rule of decision.</p> <p>ADVISORY COMMITTEE NOTES (1974 Enactment)</p> <p>NOTES</p> <p>Article V as submitted to Congress contained thirteen Rules. Nine of those Rules defined specific non-constitutional privileges which the federal courts must recognize (i.e. required reports, lawyer-client, psychotherapist-patient, husband-wife, communications to clergymen, political vote, trade secrets, secrets of state and other official information, and identity of informer.)</p> <p>The Committee amended Article V to eliminate all of the Court's specific Rules on privileges. Instead, the Committee, through a single Rule, 501, left the law of privileges in its present state and further provided that privileges shall</p>	<p>MRE 513. Psychotherapist-patient privilege</p> <p>(a) General rule of privilege. A patient has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing a confidential communication made between the patient and a psychotherapist or an assistant to the psychotherapist, in a case arising under the UCMJ, if such communication was made for the purpose of facilitating diagnosis or treatment of the patient’s mental or emotional condition.</p> <p>(b) Definitions. As used in this rule of evidence:</p> <p>(1) A “patient” is a person who consults with or is examined or interviewed by a psychotherapist for purpose of advice, diagnosis, or treatment of a mental or emotional condition.</p> <p>(2) A “psychotherapist” is a psychiatrist, clinical psychologist, or clinical social worker who is licensed in any state, territory, possession, the District of Columbia or Puerto Rico to perform professional services as such, or who holds credentials to provide such services from any military health care facility, or is a person reasonably believed by the patient to have such license or credentials.</p> <p>(3) An “assistant to a psychotherapist” is a person providing professional services, or is reasonably believed by the patient to be such.</p> <p>(4) A communication is “confidential” if not intended to be disclosed to third persons other than those to whom</p>

**COMPARISON OF FRE TO MRE FOR VICTIM PRIVACY PROVISIONS
UNDER CONSIDERATION**

continue to be developed by the courts of the United States under a uniform standard applicable both in civil and criminal cases.

See also *Jaffee v. Redmond*, 518 U.S. 1 (1996) regarding federal recognition of a psychotherapist-patient privilege (Held: "Because we agree with the judgment of the state legislatures and the Advisory Committee that a psychotherapist patient privilege will serve a "public good transcending the normally predominant principle of utilizing all rational means for ascertaining truth," *Trammel*, 445 U.S., at 50, we hold that confidential communications between a licensed psychotherapist and her patients in the course of diagnosis or treatment are protected from compelled disclosure under Rule 501 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.").

disclosure is in furtherance of the rendition of professional services to the patient or those reasonably necessary for such transmission of the communication.

(5) "Evidence of a patient's records or communications" is testimony of a psychotherapist, or assistant to the same, or patient records that pertain to communications by a patient to a psychotherapist, or assistant to the same for the purposes of diagnosis or treatment of the patient's mental or emotional condition.

(c) Who may claim the privilege. The privilege may be claimed by the patient or the guardian or conservator of the patient. A person who may claim the privilege may authorize trial or defense counsel to claim the privilege on his or her behalf. The psychotherapist or assistant to the psychotherapist who received the communication may claim the privilege on behalf of the patient. The authority of such a psychotherapist, assistant, guardian, or conservator to so assert the privilege is presumed in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

(d) Exceptions. There is no privilege under this rule:

(1) when the patient is dead;

(2) when the communication is evidence of child abuse or of neglect, or in a proceeding in which one spouse is charged with a crime against a child of either spouse;

(3) when federal law, state law, or service regulation imposes a duty to report information contained in a communication;

(4) when a psychotherapist or assistant to a psychotherapist believes that a patient's mental or emotional condition makes the patient a danger to any person, including the patient;

(5) if the communication clearly contemplated the future commission of a fraud or crime or if the services of the psychotherapist are sought or obtained to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit what the patient knew or reasonably should have known to be a crime or fraud;

**COMPARISON OF FRE TO MRE FOR VICTIM PRIVACY PROVISIONS
UNDER CONSIDERATION**

	<p>(6) when necessary to ensure the safety and security of military personnel, military dependents, military property, classified information, or the accomplishment of a military mission;</p> <p>(7) when an accused offers statements or other evidence concerning his mental condition in defense, extenuation, or mitigation, under circumstances not covered by R.C.M. 706 or Mil. R. Evid. 302. In such situations, the military judge may, upon motion, order disclosure of any statement made by the accused to a psychotherapist as may be necessary in the interests of justice; or</p> <p>(8) when admission or disclosure of a communication is constitutionally required.</p> <p>(e) Procedure to determine admissibility of patient records or communications.</p> <p>(1) In any case in which the production or admission of records or communications of a patient other than the accused is a matter in dispute, a party may seek an interlocutory ruling by the military judge. In order to obtain such a ruling, the party shall:</p> <p>(A) file a written motion at least 5 days prior to entry of pleas specifically describing the evidence and stating the purpose for which it is sought or offered, or objected to, unless the military judge, for good cause shown, requires a different time for filing or permits filing during trial; and</p> <p>(B) serve the motion on the opposing party, the military judge and, if practical, notify the patient or the patient's guardian, conservator, or representative that the motion has been filed and that the patient has an opportunity to be heard as set forth in subparagraph (e)(2).</p> <p>(2) Before ordering the production or admission of evidence of a patient's records or communication, the military judge shall conduct a hearing. Upon the motion of counsel for either party and upon good cause shown, the military judge may order the hearing closed. At the hearing, the parties may call witnesses, including the patient, and offer other relevant evidence. The patient shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity to attend the hearing and be heard at the patient's own expense unless</p>
--	---

**COMPARISON OF FRE TO MRE FOR VICTIM PRIVACY PROVISIONS
UNDER CONSIDERATION**

	<p>the patient has been otherwise subpoenaed or ordered to appear at the hearing. However, the proceedings shall not be unduly delayed for this purpose. In a case before a court-martial composed of a military judge and members, the military judge shall conduct the hearing outside the presence of the members.</p> <p>(3) The military judge shall examine the evidence or a proffer thereof <i>in camera</i>, if such examination is necessary to rule on the motion.</p> <p>(4) To prevent unnecessary disclosure of evidence of a patient's records or communications, the military judge may issue protective orders or may admit only portions of the evidence.</p> <p>(5) The motion, related papers, and the record of the hearing shall be sealed and shall remain under seal unless the military judge or an appellate court orders otherwise.</p>
--	--